Strategies for Macrolide Synthesis. A Concise Approach to Protected Seco-Acids of Erythronolides A and B

Stephen F. Martin,* Wen-Cherng Lee, Gregory J. Pacofsky, Ricky P. Gist, and Thomas A. Mulhern

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712

Received December 13, 1993*

Abstract: Concise syntheses of protected derivatives of the seco-acids of erythronolides A and B, 5 and 6, respectively, have been completed wherein the longest linear sequence requires only 13 chemical steps from 5-ethylfuraldehyde (15). The syntheses commenced with the asymmetric aldol condensation of 15 according to the Evans protocol to afford the optically pure syn-adduct 16, thereby establishing the critical stereocenters at C(4) and C(5) of the erythromycin backbone. Reductive removal of the chiral auxiliary from 16 gave the diol 17, which was converted to the bicyclic enone 18 by an one-pot process involving sequential oxidation of the furan ring and acid-catalyzed bicycloketalization. Stereoselective elaboration of 18 to the tertiary alcohol 19 was achieved in two steps by sequential treatment with lithium dimethylcuprate and methyllithium in the presence of cerium trichloride. Compound 19 underwent facile acid-catalyzed reorganization to the isomeric ketal 21, which was transformed into 24 by a Swern oxidation and a second asymmetric aldol condensation. However, the necessary refunctionalization of 24 into a ketone that would participate in the requisite aldol reaction to append the C(11)-C(15) segment of the erythronolide backbone could not be induced. On the other hand, transthioketalization of 19 gave the triol 26, which was converted to 28 by the thermodynamicallycontrolled formation of an acetonide of the 1,2-diol array. Deprotection of the C(9) ketone function followed by Swern oxidation produced the keto aldehyde 31, which underwent chemoselective, Lewis acid-mediated addition of tri-nbutylcrotylstannane to the aldehyde function to furnish a mixture (4:1) of the homoallylic alcohols 32 and 33; the major product 32 comprises the C(1)-C(10) subunit common to the seco-acids of both erythronolides A and B. Diastereoselective aldol condensation of the enolate derived from 32 with 40 gave 42 as the major adduct; oxidative processing of the terminal olefin then delivered the erythronolide B seco-acid derivative 46. The proposed structure of 42 was initially based upon its conversion into the polyol 48, which was identical to that derived from natural erythronolide B (49). Subsequent to this chemical correlation, the X-ray structure of 50, which was prepared from 42, unequivocally verified this assignment. In experiments directed toward the preparation of the seco-acid of erythronolide A, the directed aldol reactions of 32 with the aldehydes 59 and 60 were examined. Although the addition of the enolate of 32 to 59 produced none of the requisite adduct, its reaction with 60 gave a mixture (1:5) of 62 and 64. Stereoselective reduction of the C(9) carbonyl function of 62 followed by oxidative cleavage of the double bond and global deprotection gave the polyol 62, which was identical with the polyol derived from natural erythromycin A (1).

Introduction

The erythromycins A (1) and B (2), which were originally isolated from Saccharopolyspora erythraea, are the archetypal representatives of the family of 14-membered macrolide antibiotics and owe their potent antibiotic activity to their efficient inhibition of ribosomal-dependent protein biosynthesis.^{1,2} The combination of the chemotherapeutic value of 1 and the stereochemically complex architecture and dense functionalization on the macrolide backbones of both 1 and 2 has rendered these antibiotics as targets of numerous synthetic efforts. Consequent to these extensive investigations, a number of elegant syntheses of derivatives of the aglycons of 1 and 2 and their respective seco-acids, as well as of erythromycin A itself, have been recorded.³ A significant fruit of these synthetic endeavors is the development of effective methods and tactics for the stereoselective elaboration of the functionalized skeletal arrays consisting of three or more contiguous and/or alternating stereogenic centers that are common to polyketide-derived natural products. Although genetically engineered actinomycetes that produce novel erythromycin analogues have recently been disclosed,⁴ interest in developing synthetic or partially synthetic routes to the eryth-

(1) McGuire, J. M.; Bunch, R. L.; Anderson, R. C.; Boaz, H. E.; Flynn, E. H.; Powell, H. M.; Smith, J. W. Antibiot. Chemother. (Washington, D. C.) 1952, 2, 281.
(2) Macrolide Antibiotics; Omura, S., Ed.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, romycin antibiotics remains intense, since biologically active derivatives that are not otherwise accessible may be thus prepared.

All previous strategies for the synthesis of the erythromycins have followed the basic approach that was devised by nature for the biosynthesis of erythromycin A.⁵ Namely, a suitable secoacid derivative of an erythronolide is first assembled. Following cyclization of this precursor to form the macrolide ring, refunctionalization of the backbone and glycosylation of the hydroxyl residues at C(5) and C(3) with desosamine and cladinose, respectively, lead to the natural antibiotics. The elegant and solitary total synthesis of erythromycin A reported by Woodward and co-workers in 1981 proceeded according to this "biomimetic" strategy.^{3e} In pioneering studies, the Woodward group identified a number of structural features that must be incorporated in a seco-acid precursor to ensure successful macrolactonization. For example, dihydro seco-acid derivatives having the 9(S)-stereochemistry were found to cyclize more readily than their corresponding 9(R)-epimers.^{3e} It is also essential to reduce the conformational freedom available to the seco-acid backbone, and several tactics have been invented and exploited to reduce its flexibility, usually in two sections. Rigidification of the fragment spanning C(2) and C(7) has been routinely achieved by forming a cyclic protecting group that incorporates the hydroxyl groups at C(3) and C(5); an alternate approach involves incorporation of a conjugated enone between C(5) and C(7).^{3k} Conformational restriction of a second segment of the carbon framework has been most commonly accomplished by constructing a six-membered

Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts. April 15, 1994.

^{1984.}

Scheme 1



ring between the functional groups at C(9) and C(11).^{3e-i,m-r,w} Other constraints that have been used to restrict the conformational space available to a second section of the backbone include insertion of a double bond at C(7)-C(8),^{3v} C(8)-C(9),^{3s} C(10)-C(11),^{3b,c} or C(11)-C(12).^{3k}

In designing our own plan for the synthesis of the erythromycin antibiotics, we elected to explore a unique and adventurous strategy that featured the macrolactonization of fully glycosylated derivatives of the seco-acids of erythromycins A and B.6 Examination of prior art in the erythronolide area led to the formulation of an approach in which 3 and 4 would serve as the substrates for macrolactonization (Scheme 1). The fundamental question was whether the combined presence of the two protected carbohydrate residues at C(3) and C(5) and the conventional cyclic acetal moiety bridging C(9) and C(11) would confer sufficient conformational restraint on the resulting backbone to enable the cyclizations of 3 and 4. In a significant advance, we validated the key step of this novel strategy by effecting the successful cyclization of a fully glycosylated derivative of the seco-acid of erythromycin B.6 To our knowledge, the only other account of a macrocyclization of a glycosylated acyclic array is found in the elegant total synthesis of O-mycinosyltylonolide by Nicolaou, wherein the critical cyclization was achieved by an intramolecular Wadsworth-Emmons reaction.7

Having formulated this novel approach to the erythromycins, it remained to identify a convergent and efficient route to compounds such as 3 and 4. We reasoned that the seco-acid derivatives 5 and 6 would admirably serve as key intermediates toward this end, and these compounds became the initial targets of our synthetic undertaking.⁸ The disconnection of the C(10)-C(11) bond by retroaldolization leads to the ketone 9 and the two

^{(3) (}a) Corey, E. J.; Trybulski, E. J.; Melvin, L. S., Jr.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Secrist, J. A.; Lett, R.; Sheldrake, P. W.; Falck, J. R.; Brunelle, D. J.; Haslanger, M. F.; Kim, S.; Yoo, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 4618. (b) Corey, E J.; Kim, S.; Yoo, S.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Melvin, L. S., Jr.; Brunelle, D. J.; Falck,
 J. R.; Trybulski, E. J.; Lett, R.; Sheldrake, P. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978,
 100, 4620. (c) Corey, E. J.; Hopkins, P. B.; Kim, S.; Yoo, S.; Nambiar, K. P.; Falck, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 7131. (d) Masamune, S.; Hirama, M.; Mori, S.; Ali, S. A.; Garvey, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1568. (e) Woodward, R. B.; Logusch, E.; Nambiar, K. P.; Sakan, K.; Ward, D. E.; Au-Yeung, B.-W.; Balaram, P.; Browne, L. J.; Card, P. J.; Chen, C. H.; Chênevert, R. B.; Fliri, A.; Frobel, K.; Gais, H.-J.; Garratt, D. G.; Hayakawa, K.; Heggie, W.; Hesson, D. P.; Hoppe, D.; Hoppe, I.; Hyatt, J. A.; Ikeda, D.; Jacobi, P. A.; Kim, K. S.; Kobuke, Y.; Kojima, K.; Krowicki, K.; Lee, V. J.; Leutert, T.; Malchenko, S.; Martens, J.; Matthews, R. S.; Ong, B. S.; Press, J. B.; Rajan Babu, T. V.; Rousseau, G.; Sauter, H. M.; Suzuki, M.; Tatsuta, K.; Tolbert, L. M.; Truesdale, E. A.; Uchida, I.; Ueda, Y.; Uyehara, T.; Vasella, A. T.; Vladuchick, W. C.; Wade, P. A.; Williams, R. M.; Wong, H. N.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3210, 3213, 3215. (f) Stork, G.; Paterson, I.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 3210, 3215, 3215. (1) Stork, G.; Paterson, I.; Lee, F. K. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 4686. (g) Bernet, B.; Bishop, P. M.; Caron, M.; Kawamata, T.; Roy, B. L.; Ruest, L.; Sauvé, G.; Soucy, P.; Deslongchamps, P. Can. J. Chem. 1985, 63, 2810, 2814, 2818. (h) Stork, G.; Rychnovsky, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1564, 1565. (i) Nakata, T.; Fukui, M.; Oishi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2219, 2223. (j) Chamberlin, A. R.; Dezube, M.; Reich, S. H.; Sall, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111 6247. (k) Paterson, I.; Rawson, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 7463. (l Tone, H.; Hikota, M.; Hamada, T.; Nishi, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Yonemitsu, O Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 1155. (m) Tone, H.; Nishi, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Hikota, M.; Yonemitsu, O. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 1160, 1167. (n) Hikota, M.; Yonemitsu, O. Chem. Pharm. Buil. 1989, 57, 1160, 1167 (1)
 Nakata, M.; Arai, M.; Tomooka, K.; Ohsawa, N.; Kinoshita, M. Bull. Chem.
 Soc. Jpn. 1989, 62, 2618. (o) Kochetkov, N. K.; Sviridov, A. F.; Ermolenko,
 M. S.; Yashunsky, D. V.; Borodkin, V. S. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 5109. (p)
 Hikota, M.; Tone, H.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1636.
 (r) Hikota, M.; Tone, H.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 4613. (s) Mulzer, J.; Kirstein, H. M.; Buschmann, J.; Lehmann, C.; Luger, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 910. (t) Sviridov, A. F.; Borodkin, V. S.; Ermolenko, M. S.; Yashunsky, D. V.; Kochetkov, N. K. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 2291, 2317. (u) Burke, S. D.; Lee, K. C.; Santafianos, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 3957. (v) Mulzer, J.; Mareski, P. A.; Buschmann, J.; Luger, P. Synthesis 1992, 215. (w) Stürmer, R.; Ritter, K.; Hoffmann, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 101.

^{(4) (}a) McAlpine, J. B.; Tuan, J. S.; Brown, D. P.; Grebner, K. D.; Whittern, D. N.; Buko, A.; Katz, L. J. Antibiot. 1987, 40, 1115. (b) Donadio, S.; McAlpine, J. B.; Sheldon, P. J.; Jackson, M.; Katz, L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1993, 90, 7119.

⁽⁵⁾ For some recent leading references to macrolide biosynthesis, see: (a) Stanzak, R.; Matsushima, P.; Baltz, R. H.; Rao, R. N. *Bio/Technology* 1986, 4, 229. (b) Cane, D. E.; Lambalot, R. H.; Prabhakaran, P. C.; Ott, W. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 522. (c) Anderson, J. F.; Tatsuta, K.; Gunji, H.; Ishiyama, T.; Hutchinson, C. R. *Biochemistry* 1993, 32, 1905.

⁽⁶⁾ Martin, S. F.; Yamashita, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5478.
(7) (a) Nicolaou, K. C.; Pavia, M. R.; Seitz, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2027. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Seitz, S. P.; Pavia, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2030.

aldehydes 7 and 8. Selection of an aldol reaction to construct the C(10)-C(11) carbon-carbon bond endows this approach with maximal flexibility, since seco-acid derivatives of both erythronolide A and B can be accessed from the common, advanced intermediate 9. Significantly, the stereoselective formation of the C(10)-C(11) bond via an aldol reaction was precedented in the elegant synthesis of 6-deoxyerythronolide B recorded by Masamune.^{3d,9} Since protected aldehydes of the general type 7 and 8 were known at the outset of our inquiry,¹⁰ the major task before us was the development of a concise and efficient route to the protected ketone 9. Toward this end, we envisioned that addition of a crotyl organometallic reagent to the aldehyde 11 would form the C(2)-C(3) bond with the correct stereochemistry attending the two newly created stereogenic centers. In this operation, the C(1) carboxyl function would be introduced in masked form as a carbon-carbon double bond. The aldehyde 11 would logically arise from the primary alcohol 12, which might in turn be obtained upon hydrolysis of the bicyclic ketal 13. Experience garnered in our successful asymmetric total syntheses of tirandamycin and other highly oxygenated natural products suggested that the bicyclic ketal 13 could be accessed via oxidative transformation of the furan 14 followed by stereoselective introduction of the two requisite methyl groups at C(6) and C(8).¹¹ We now report the details of our investigations in this area and the successful syntheses of protected derivatives of the seco-acids of erythronolides A and B.

Results and Discussion

The foregoing analysis dictated that the synthesis of the bicyclic ketal 19 would serve as the initial objective in our study. Toward this end, the known aldehyde 15, which was readily prepared by the Vilsmeier-Haack formylation of 2-ethylfuran, was subjected to a diastereoselective aldol reaction according to the Evans protocol¹² to give the adduct 16 in 81% yield (Scheme 2); no other stereoisomeric adducts were isolated. This key step established the absolute stereochemistry at the two centers C(4)and C(5) that would serve as the critical stereochemical control elements for the subsequent introduction of all other stereocenters in the C(1)-C(10) subunit. Reductive removal of the chiral auxiliary with lithium borohydride proceeded in 90% yield to give the diol 17.13 When 17 was oxidized with bromine in 15% aqueous acetonitrile,¹⁴ the intermediate dihydroxy enedione formed in situ underwent facile acid-catalyzed bicycloketalization to provide 18 in 69% yield. This bicyclic enone embraces two significant design features: Firstly, the hydroxyl functions at C(3) and C(5) together with the ketone function at C(9) are internally protected in the form of the bicyclic ketal to minimize the unproductive protection/deprotection steps that would otherwise be required to implement future reactions. Secondly, the conformationally biased skeletal framework in 18 presents sterically well-differentiated faces to incoming nucleophilic

(14) Pikul, S.; Raczko, J.; Ankner, K.; Jurczak, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3981.





reagents so attack occurs almost exclusively from the more accessible exo face of the bicyclic array. Thus, the 1,4-addition of lithium dimethylcuprate to **18** gave a single saturated ketone, which then underwent 1,2-addition of methyllithium in the presence of cerium trichloride¹⁵ to furnish **19** in 85% overall yield. Only small quantities, generally less than 5%, of the C(6) epimeric tertiary alcohol were occasionally isolated. If cerium trichloride was omitted during the addition of methyllithium to the ketone function, significant quantities of starting material were recovered; even larger amounts of starting material were recovered when methylmagnesium bromide was used as the nucleophile. Thus, the complete C(3)-C(10) segment of the erythromycin backbone was fashioned with the correct absolute and relative stereochemistry by a concise sequence of only five reactions.

Subsequent elaboration of 19 required dismantling of the bicyclic ketal array to expose those functional groups that would be exploited in subsequent bond constructions. Analysis of selected vicinal proton coupling constants for 19 suggested that the two six-membered rings in 19 existed predominantly in chair conformations despite an unfavorable 1,3-diaxial interaction between the two methyl groups at C(6) and C(8). This conclusion was later verified by single crystal X-ray analysis of a derivative of 19.16 We reasoned that the steric strain resulting from this interaction would predispose the bicyclic ketal to undergo an acid-catalyzed reorganization to form a more stable product such as the hydropyran 20, which maintained protection of the C(9)carbonyl group while releasing the C(3) hydroxyl group for subsequent manipulation (Scheme 3). However, despite numerous attempts, we were unable to induce the superficially straightforward conversion of 19 into any monocyclic product related to 20. On the other hand, we serendipitously discovered that under carefully controlled conditions 19 underwent a "ketal shuffle" to furnish the isomeric bicyclic ketal 21 in 85% yield; prolonged exposure of **21** to acid led to further reorganization and to the isolation of 22 as the major product. Although 21 was not the intended product, it nevertheless possesses the requisite functionality for chain extension at C(3) while retaining the favorable internal protection of the hydroxyl groups at C(5) and C(6) and the ketone group at C(9). Consequently, we explored the chemistry of **21** to ascertain whether it might be exploited as

⁽⁸⁾ For a preliminary report of some of these results, see: Martin, S. F.;
Pacofsky, G. J.; Gist, R. P.; Lee, W.-C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7634.
(9) Consequent both to the report by Masamune and to our preliminary

report of the synthesis of a protected analogue of $6.^8$ Kochetkov and coworkers described a similar construction for the preparation of erythronolides A and B.³¹

^{(10) (}a) Heathcock, C. H.; Young, S. D.; Hagen, J. P.; Pirrung, M. C.; White, C. T.; VanDerveer, D. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3846. (b) Heathcock, C. H.; Hagen, J. P.; Jarvi, E. T.; Pirrung, M. C.; Young, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4972. See also ref 3d.

 ^{(11) (}a) Martin, S. F.; Guinn, D. E. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 5588. (b)
 Martin, S. F.; Gluchowski, C.; Campbell, C. L.; Chapman, R. C. Tetrahedron
 1988, 44, 3171 and references cited therein. (c) Martin, S. F.; Zinke, P. W.
 J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6600. (d) Martin, S. F.; Chen, H.-J.; Yang, C.-P.
 J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2867.

J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 2867.
 (12) (a) Evans, D. A.; Bartroli, J.; Shih, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 2127.
 (b) Gage, J. R.; Evans, D. A. Org. Synth. 1989, 68, 83.

^{(13) (}a) Evans, D. A.; Polniaszek, R. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 5683.
(b) Penning, T. D.; Djuric, S. W.; Haack, R. A.; Kalish, V. J.; Miyashiro, J. M.; Rowell, B. W.; Yu, S. S. Synth. Commun. 1990, 20, 307.

^{(15) (}a) Imamoto, T.; Kusumoto, T.; Tawarayama, Y.; Sugiura, Y.; Mita, T.; Hatanaka, Y.; Yokoyama, M. J. Org Chem. 1984, 49, 3904. (b) Imamoto, T.; Sugiura, Y.; Takiyama, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 4233. (c) Imamoto,

T.; Sugiura, Y.; Takiyama, N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, 25, 4233. (c) Imamoto, T.; Takiyama, N.; Nakamura, K.; Hatajima, T.; Kamiya, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 4392. (d) Denmark, S. E.; Edwards, J. P.; Nicaise, O. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, 58, 569.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Lynch, V. M.; Lee, W.-C.; Martin, S. F.; Davis, B. E. Acta Crystallogr. 1994, C44, in press.

Scheme 3



Scheme 4



a useful intermediate in the syntheses of seco-acid derivatives of the erythronolides.

In the first step toward evaluating this conjecture, 21 was oxidized under Swern conditions¹⁷ to provide the aldehyde 23, which proved to be relatively unstable, a property that might be attributed to facile β -elimination and subsequent self-destruction. Owing to its fragile nature, 23 was subjected in situ to an Evans aldol reaction to give 24 reproducibly in 60-70% yields, provided the chiral boron enolate was generated using freshly prepared di-*n*-butylboron triflate (Scheme 4); an adduct epimeric at C(4)of 24 was sometimes isolated as a byproduct. Difficulties were then encountered in removing the chiral auxiliary from 24 as well as its tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether derivative, and in the best case (24, 4 equiv of LiOBn, THF, -15 to 0 °C, 1.5 h), the reaction proceeded in only 62-72% yield. In some cases products derived from competing nucleophilic attack on the carbonyl of the auxiliary were isolated. In an attempt to circumvent the problems associated with the removal of the chiral auxiliary from 24, other tactics for introducing the remaining carbons on the C(1)-C(10)subunit were briefly examined. However, reaction of the aldehyde 23 with tri-n-butylcrotylstannane¹⁸ in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate did not afford the expected adduct but rather a product that was tentatively identified as 25 on the basis of its NMR spectral characteristics. The lability of the 2,7-dioxabicyclo-[2.2.1]heptane ring system toward acid was again evident. Although one can envision possible scenarios for converting 25 into erythronolide seco-acids, a number of additional protection and deprotection steps would be required, thereby rendering that strategy unacceptable and prompting us to reevaluate our options.

Scheme 5



Selective differentiation of the three hydroxyl groups and the carbonyl group that reside in 19 stood as the principle bulwark to our progress. Given the functional complexity of this small molecule, it is possible to envision a number of possible avenues of approach, but we first queried whether it might be possible to directly convert the ketal function in 19 to a dithioketal moiety while releasing all the hydroxyl groups.¹⁹ After considerable experimentation, we discovered that treating 19 with bis-(trimethylsilyl)ethanedithiol in the presence of titanium tetrachloride at -78 °C gave a mixture (ca. 4:1) of the thioketal 26 (Scheme 5) together with its C(8) epimer 27. The epimerization at C(8) during this transketalization step appears to be quite facile and difficult to avoid, but we have recently discovered that the use of aluminum trichloride as a catalyst at 0 °C gives primarily 26 contaminated with only small amounts of 27.20 Since it was difficult to separate the diastereomers 26 and 27, this mixture was treated with acetone and camphorsulfonic acid (CSA) under thermodynamically-controlled conditions²¹ to produce a separable mixture of the acetonides 28 and 29. In this fashion, 28 was routinely obtained in >65% overall yield from 19. Although the 1,3-dioxane derived from acetonide formation between the hydroxyl groups at C(3) and C(5) was formed kinetically, the five-membered ring acetonide from the C(5) and C(6) hydroxyls was virtually the exclusive product at equilibrium. Removal of the dithiolane protecting group from 28 was induced most efficiently using bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodobenzene to provide 30 in 90% yield.²² Comparison of the two compounds 19 and 30 suggests the provocative possibility that treatment of 19 with acetone, or an equivalent thereof, in the presence of a suitable acid should furnish 30 by transketalization. However, despite numerous attempts, we were unable to effect this "simple" transformation and were forced to employ the aforementioned three-step sequence.

Oxidation of 30 under Swern conditions gave the keto aldehyde 31 in 92% yield. Ketones are known to be less reactive than

(22) Stork, G.; Zhao, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 287.

^{(17) (}a) Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L.; Swern, D. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2480. (b) Omura, K.; Swern, D. Tetrahedron 1978, 34, 1651.

^{(18) (}a) Yamamoto, Y.; Yategai, H.; Naruta, Y.; Maruyama, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 7107. (b) Maruyama, K.; Ishihara, Y.; Yamamoto, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 4235. (c) Yamamoto, Y.; Yatagai, H.; Ishihara, Y.; Maeda, N.; Maruyama, K. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 2239. (d) Keck, G. E.; Abbott, D. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 1883. (e) Yamamoto, Y. Aldrichimica Acta 1987, 20, 45.

^{(19) (}a) Evans, D. A.; Truesdale, L. K.; Grimm, K. G.; Nesbitt, S. L. J. 4m. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5009. (b) Ireland, R. E.; Daub, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 1303.

⁽²⁰⁾ Hodgson, A. Unpublished results.
(21) Cf.: (a) Williams, D. R.; Sit, S.-Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2949. (b) Tius, M. A.; Fang, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1035. (c) Meyers, A. I.; Lawson, J. P.; Walker, D. G.; Linderman, R. J. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5111. (d) El Ashry, E. S. H.; El Kilany, Y.; Singab, F. J. Chem. Soc. 1986, Tense 1, 1989. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 133.

Scheme 6



aldehydes toward acid-catalyzed reaction with tri-n-butylcrotylstannane,²³ so 31 was treated with tri-n-butylcrotylstannane in the presence of BF₃·OEt₂ at -90 °C to give the syn-adduct 32 as the major product in 66% yield together with the anti-adduct 33 (16% yield). The structures of both 32 and 33 were confirmed by single crystal X-ray analyses.²⁴ The formation of such large quantities of the anti-adduct is surprising in view of the known propensity of such additions to proceed with significantly higher syn-selectivity.^{18,25} Although the addition of chiral (Z)-crotyl boronates or boranes to 31 might proceed with higher levels of diastereoselectivity,²⁶ we have not explored this option. An Evans aldol reaction with 31 was also explored to see whether the C(2)-C(3) bond might be formed with higher stereoselectivity, but this reaction was both sluggish and inefficient. At this juncture, the key intermediate ketone 32, which incorporates C(1)-C(10), is available from 15 by a sequence of only 10 steps; the stage was then set to complete the elaboration of the backbone of the secoacids of erythronolide A and B by a final aldol construction.

The aldehyde 39 had been previously prepared by Masamune, 3d but we developed an alternative and more expedient route that commenced with the diastereoselective Evans aldol reaction of propionaldehyde to give the adduct 34 (Scheme 6). Protection of the secondary hydroxyl group with triethylsilyl triflate²⁷ gave 35 in 78% overall yield. Preliminary experiments to effect the reductive removal of the chiral auxiliary from 35 were unsatisfactory, and consequently the alcohol 37 was prepared from 35 by the two-step sequence involving esterification²⁸ (PhCH₂OLi, THF, 25 °C; 80%) followed by hydride reduction (DIBAL-H, Et₂O, -78 to 0 °C; 93%). Swern oxidation of 37 then provided the aldehyde 39, the optical rotation of which was identical to that reported by Masamune.3d

The critical aldol reaction to establish the complete seco-acid backbone was now at hand. In the event, the Z-enolate of the ketone 32, which was generated with excess lithium hexamethyldisilazide,²⁹ reacted with the aldehyde **39** (Scheme 7) to give a mixture (3:1) of 41 (59% yield based on recovered starting material) together with a diastereomer that was tentatively identified as being the alternate C(10)-C(11) syn-adduct 43 (22% vield based on recovered starting material). The initial assignment of stereochemistry for 41 was founded upon the observed vicinal proton coupling constants of $J_{10,11} = 2.0$ Hz and $J_{11,12} = 9.8$ Hz, which are in excellent agreement with values reported by Kochetkov^{3t} for structurally similar adducts. Further support



for the syn-stereochemical relationship between the centers at C(10) and C(11) is the observed resonance for the C(10) methyl group at 7.8 ppm in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of **41**. Heathcock has noted that the ¹³C chemical shifts of the α -methyl substituents in syn-aldol adducts lie in the range 7.6-12.9 ppm.³⁰ In the corresponding anti-aldol adducts, the chemical shifts for the α -methyl groups may appear between 10.9 and 17.9 ppm, although they are generally in the region 13.7-17.9 ppm for the aldol adducts of ketones and carboxylic acid derivatives; shifts below 13.7 ppm seem typical only of anti β -hydroxy- α -methyl aldehydes. The veracity of this preliminary structural assignment for 41 was later confirmed by its conversion into the polyol 48 (vide infra). The measured vicinal coupling constants $(J_{10,11} = 4.8 \text{ Hz and})$ $J_{11,12} = 6.0$ Hz) for the protons along the C(10)-C(12) segment of 43 did not provide any convincing insights regarding the relative stereochemistry at C(10)-C(12). However, the 13 C chemical shift of the methyl group at C(10) of 43 is 8.5 ppm, suggesting that the relative stereochemical relationship at C(10)-C(11) is also syn; consequently, 43 must then arise from addition of the Z-enolate of 32 to the other diastereotopic face of the aldehyde 39. No products having the anti-stereochemical relationship were isolated.

The modest stereoselectivity in the directed aldol reaction of the Z-enolate of 32 with 39 stands in sharp contrast to the high stereoselectivity (17:1) that was observed by Masamune in a closely related coupling.3d Kochetkov has recently reported obtaining an adduct having the relative stereochemistry at C(10)-C(12) corresponding to that shown in 41 as the only product of a similar condensation.^{3t} The precise factors for the relatively large differences in the observed stereoselectivity in these aldol reactions involving ketone enolates and aldehydes similar to those of the present study remain obscure. After considering a number of tactical options, it occurred to us that higher levels of stereoselectivity should be obtained if addition of the Z-enolate of 32 to the aldehyde reaction partner proceeded via a tightly organized transition state in which the lithium cation simultaneously coordinated with both the aldehyde carbonyl and the protected hydroxyl function on the β -carbon atom. Indeed, Masamune rationalized the stereochemical outcome of a similar process on precisely this basis,³¹ although other explanations for such anti Felkin-Anh stereoselectivity have been advanced.³² The use of silyl protecting groups on hydroxyl functions is known to decrease the ability of the oxygen atom to chelate with metal

⁽²³⁾ Naruta, Y.; Ushida, S.; Maruyama, K. Chem. Lett. 1979, 919. (24) Lynch, V. M.; Pacofsky, G. J.; Martin, S. F.; Davis, B. E. Acta Crystallogr. 1989, C45, 973, 1641.

⁽²⁵⁾ For a related addition, see: Nakajima, N.; Hamada, T.; Tanaka, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Yonemitsu, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4645.

⁽²⁶⁾ See for example: (a) Roush, W. R.; Adam, M. A.; Walts, A. E.; Harris, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3422. (b) Brown, H. C.; Bhat, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5919. (c) Roush, W. R.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Palmer, M. A. J. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 316. (d) Brown, H. C.; Bhat, K. S.; Randad, R. S. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3701; 1989, 54, 1570. (e) Garcia, Kin, B. M.; Masamune, S. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4831. (f) Roush, W. R.; Ando, K.; Powers, D. B.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Halterman, R. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6339. (g) Roush, W. R.; Palkowitz, A. D.; Ando, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6348 and references cited therein.

⁽²⁷⁾ Corey, E. J.; Cho, H.; Rücker, C.; Hua, D. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3455.

^{(28) (}a) Evans, D. A.; Ennis, M. D.; Mathre, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1737. See also ref 13a. (29) Heathcock, C. H.; Buse, C. T.; Kleschick, W. A.; Pirrung, M. C.;

Sohn, J. E.; Lampe, J. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1066. See also ref 3d.

⁽³⁰⁾ Heathcock, C. H.; Pirrung, M. C.; Sohn, J. E. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4294.

⁽³¹⁾ Masamune, S.; Ellingboe, J. W.; Choy, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104. 5526.

⁽³²⁾ Roush, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 4151.



ions.³³ so replacement of the triethylsilyl protecting group on 39 with an alkyl residue would be required to favor nucleophilic addition to the aldehyde carbonyl by a metal-chelated transition state.

Toward this end, the adduct 34 was treated with benzyl chloromethyl ether in the presence of Hünig's base to give 36 in 91% yield (Scheme 6). Removal of the chiral auxiliary using lithium borohydride in the presence of 1 equiv of water provided the alcohol 38,13b which was oxidized under modified Swern conditions using N-methylmorpholine to provide the aldehyde 40 in 84% overall yield. The use of triethylamine as the base in the Swern oxidation led to significant epimerization at the carbon α to the aldehyde function-even when the quantity of triethylamine was strictly controlled. When the lithium Z-enolate derived from 32 was allowed to react with the aldehyde 40, a mixture (6:1) of 42 and 44 was produced in 72% yield. The enhanced diastereofacial selectivity in this aldol reaction is consistent with the premise that chelation control plays a more significant role in the addition to 40. In ancillary investigations, we have examined the effect of metal counterions on the stereoselectivity of some aldol reactions of 40 with enolates related to that derived from 32.34 The initial assignment of the relative stereochemistry at C(10)-C(12) in 42 was based upon the measured vicinal coupling constants of $J_{10,11} = 2.0$ Hz and $J_{11,12} = 9.9$ Hz, which were virtually identical to those found previously for 41. The chemical shift of the C(10) methyl group in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 42 at 8.2 ppm further supports the syn-relationship between the methyl and hydroxyl groups.³⁰ Although the coupling constants between the protons attached to C(11) and C(12) in 44 could not be determined, the resonance of the C(10) methyl group at 10.1 ppm in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of 44 is suggestive of syn stereochemistry between the newly created centers at C(10) and C(11).

The structural assignments for the aldol adducts 41 and 42 thus rested solely on their NMR spectra and were therefore equivocal. To establish unambiguously the veracity of these assignments, both adducts were converted in parallel sequences of reactions into the polyol 48 (Scheme 8). In the event, stereoselective hydride reduction of 41 and 42 with Me₄NBH-

 $(OAc)_3$, which reduces β -hydroxy ketones to anti-1,3-diols, ³⁵ gave the corresponding 9(S)-alcohols as the major products. Ozonolysis of the terminal olefin moiety of each of these intermediates followed by treatment of the intermediate ozonides with LiAlH. and global removal of the hydroxyl protecting groups then gave the synthetic polyol 48 as the sole product of both sequences. An authentic sample of 48 was readily prepared from naturallyderived erythronolide B (49)³⁶ by sequential reduction with sodium borohydride, which gave 9(S)-dihydroerythronolide B,³⁷ and lithium aluminum hydride. The three samples of 48 thus obtained independently were identical by ¹³C NMR and TLC. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 48 is highly concentration dependent owing to the numerous hydroxyl groups, so comparison by this technique is problematic. Subsequent to completing this chemical correlation, we obtained an X-ray analysis of a single crystal of 50, which was prepared by sequential reduction of 42 with Me₄-NBH(OAc), and formation of a cyclic carbonate between the C(9) and C(11) hydroxyl groups with 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole.³⁸

Having assembled the backbone, it remained to convert the terminal olefin functions of 41 and 42 into a carboxylic acid moiety to complete the synthesis of protected derivatives of the seco-acid of erythronolide B. In the first experiments, 41 was treated with ozone in the presence of Sudan III, and the intermediate ozonide was oxidized with either m-CPBA or magnesium monoperoxyphthalate (MMPP) to give a carboxylic acid that was esterified by reaction with diazomethane to furnish 45 in 74% yield (Scheme 7). In later experiments, an alternative procedure was developed and applied to the refunctionalization of 42. Thus, 42 was treated with ozone in methanol in the presence of Sudan III, and the intermediate peroxide was dehydrated with acetic anhydride and triethylamine to deliver the methyl ester 46 directly in 61% yield;³⁹ the corresponding aldehyde 47 was also obtained in 24% yield. A few attempts to optimize this transformation to produce only 46 were unavailing.

We then turned our attention to the aldol reactions of the enolate of 32 with a suitably protected aldehyde to complete the synthesis of the seco-acid of erythronolide A. The selection of protecting groups for the hydroxyl substituents on the aldehyde partner was guided by consideration of the possible transition states for addition of nucleophiles to α,β -dialkoxy aldehydes. If the nucleophile added to a chelated intermediate in which a metal ion was coordinated to the aldehyde carbonyl group and either the α - or the β -hydroxyl oxygen as shown in **51** and **52**, respectively, an adduct having the correct stereochemistry at C(11) would be formed. However, addition via the alternate pathway predicted according to the Felkin-Anh model 53 would result in the wrong stereochemistry at C(11) by addition to the opposite face of the carbonyl group. The necessity of inducing the aldol addition via a chelated transition state was thus evident.



Addition of the Z-enolate of 32 to an α,β -dialkoxy aldehyde via a five-membered ring transition state 51 would be favored by

(35) Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Carreira, E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3560.

^{(33) (}a) Kahn, S. D.; Keck, G. E.; Hehre, W. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 279. (b) Keck, G. E.; Castellino, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 281. (c) Chen, X.; Hortelano, E. R.; Eliel, E. L.; Frye, S. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6130.

⁽³⁴⁾ Martin, S. F.; Lee, W.-C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 2711.

⁽³⁶⁾ We are grateful to Dr. Paul A. Lartey (Abbott Laboratories) for a

<sup>generous gift of erythronolide B.
(37) Jones, P. H.; Rowley, E. K. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 665.
(38) Lynch, V. M.; Lee, W.-C.; Martin, S. F.; Davis, B. E. Acta Crystallogr.
1991, C47, 1117.</sup>

⁽³⁹⁾ Schreiber, S. L.; Claus, R. E.; Reagan, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 3867.

Scheme 9



placing a silvl ether on the β -hydroxy group of the reacting aldehyde, such as 59, to suppress chelation via the alternate sixmembered ring transition state 52. Toward this end, the commercially available racemic alcohol 54 was converted into the epoxide 55 in 97% enantiomeric excess by kinetic resolution according to the Sharpless protocol (Scheme 9).40 Titaniummediated opening of the epoxide occurred exclusively at the less hindered terminus to afford the pivalate 56 in 36% overall yield.41 Selective silvlation of the secondary alcohol function of 56 ((TBDMS)OTf/2,6-lutidine, CH₂Cl₂, -20 °C) followed by alkylation of the tertiary hydroxyl group with benzyl chloromethyl ether in the presence of Proton Sponge and sodium iodide gave 57 in 68% overall yield.42 The pivalate ester was cleaved by the action of methyllithium, and Swern oxidation of the intermediate primary alcohol then afforded the requisite aldehyde 59 in 78% overall yield.

The reaction of the Z-enolate of 32 with the aldehyde 59 proceeded with excellent (>30:1) diastereoselectivity to provide a mixture of adducts in 49% yield (86% based on recovered 32) together with unreacted starting material (Scheme 10). Upon the basis of our prior analysis, we optimistically presumed the major product to be the desired adduct 61. The observed coupling constant $J_{10,11}$ of 1.5 Hz together with the fact that the ¹³C chemical shift for the methyl group at C(10) was 10.5 ppm suggested that the stereochemical relationship between C(10) and C(11) was syn. The relative stereochemistry between C(11) and C(12) could not be determined by NMR spectroscopy because of the quaternary center at C(12). Preliminary attempts to obtain a crystalline derivative of this adduct for verification of the structure were unsuccessful, so it was necessary to establish the structure of this adduct by a chemical correlation similar to that

outlined in Scheme 8. In the event, the major aldol adduct was subjected to a sequence of stereoselective reduction of the C(9) carbonyl group with Me₄NBH(OAc)₃, ozonolysis of the terminal carbon–carbon double bond followed by reductive workup of the ozonide, and global deprotection of the acetal and ketal protecting groups. As judged by TLC and ¹³C NMR, however, the synthetic polyol thus obtained was *not* identical with the naturally-derived polyol **65**, which was prepared from erythromycin A according to the protocol previously reported by Chamberlin.^{3j,43} We have not rigorously established the structure of the major product of the directed aldol reaction of **32** with **59**, but it now seems reasonable to conclude that it is **63**; the minor product was presumably the desired adduct **61**.

Since the aldol reaction of 32 with 59 did not appear to proceed via a five-membered ring chelate as shown in 51, we queried whether changing the nature of the protecting group on the secondary hydroxyl group at C(13) to an alkyl residue would allow the addition to the aldehyde function to proceed via a sixmembered ring transition state as shown in 52. Toward this end, we prepared the aldehyde 60 from the diol 56 by a simple modification of the procedure used to prepare 59 (Scheme 9). Reaction of 56 with excess benzyl chloromethyl ether in the presence of NaI and Proton Sponge gave 58 in 84% yield; subsequent removal of the pivalate moiety with methyllithium followed by Swern oxidation of the resulting primary hydroxyl group provided 60 in 79% overall yield. Deprotonation of 32 with lithium hexamethyldisilazide and condensation of the resulting Z-enolate with 60 proceeded smoothly to give a mixture (1:5) of isomeric adducts in 41% yield (75% yield based upon recovered starting material). As judged from the ¹³C chemical shifts for the C(10) methyl groups of the minor (12.2 ppm) and major (10.3 ppm) adducts, each appeared to have the syn stereochemical relationship at C(10) and C(11). That the minor product was 62 and in fact possessed the stereochemical relationship at C(10)-C(12) present in erythromycin A was established by its conversion into the known polyol 65 by sequential reduction of the C(9) carbonyl group with Me₄NBH(OAc)₃, ozonolysis of the terminal carbon-carbon double bond followed by reductive workup of the ozonide, and global deprotection of the acetal and ketal protecting groups. The synthetic polyol thus obtained was identical by TLC and ¹³C NMR with the naturallyderived polyol 65. Since subjection of the major aldol adduct to the same sequence of reactions gave a polyol identical with that previously derived from 63, the structure of this adduct may be assigned as being 64.

Although the stereoselectivity of the addition of 32 to the aldehydes 39 and 40 did proceed preferentially with the desired diastereofacial selectivity to give the adducts 41 and 42 as the major products,⁴⁴ the corresponding reactions of 32 with the aldehyde 59 and 60 proceeded in the opposite stereochemical sense. The preferential Felkin-Anh (Cram) mode of addition of enolates to aldehydes related to 59 and 60 has been previously observed by Heathcock.⁴⁵ We were unable to enhance the formation of the desired adduct 62 even in the presence of Lewis acids such as MgBr₂, TiCl₄, or ZnBr₂. After we had completed our own results, Kochetkov described closely related aldol reactions with the aldehydes 59 and 60; he also observed low diastereofacial selectivity with the undesired adducts related to 63 and 64 being the major products.^{3t} The diastereoselectivity in this critical aldol reaction thus stands as a potential chemical "Achilles' heel" impeding our progress toward an efficient, stereoselective ap-

^{(40) (}a) Sharpless, K. B.; Hanson, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1922.
(b) Gao, Y.; Hanson, R. M.; Klunder, J. M.; Ko, S. Y.; Masamune, H.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5765. For the preparation of the antipode of 49, see: (c) Evans, D. A.; Bender, S. L.; Morris, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2506.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Sharpless, K. B.; Caron, M. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1557.

⁽⁴²⁾ Corey, E. J.; Bock, M. G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 3269.

⁽⁴³⁾ We are grateful to Prof. A. R. Chamberlin (The University of California at Irvine) for a generous gift of authentic 3'-de(dimethylamino)-3',4'-dehydrocrythromycin A,3' which we converted according to the procedure outlined in ref 3j into the natural polyol **65** for comparison with synthetic material.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ For an account of some related aldol reactions, see: Martin, S. F.; Lee, W.-C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 2711.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Heathcock, C. H.; Young, S. D.; Hagen, J. P.; Pirrung, M. C.; White, C. T.; VanDerveer, D. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 3846.

proach to the erythronolide A skeleton, and we are presently conducting experiments to resolve this stereochemical problem. In this context, it is noteworthy that Hoffmann^{3w} recently observed that the additions of chiral crotyl boronates to aldehydes related to **59** and **60** may proceed with the desired diastereofacial selectivity. Alternatively, it may be possible to utilize boron enolates derived from chiral boranes to override the inherent diastereofacial selectivity in this substrate-controlled reaction.⁴⁶ We are currently screening a number of tactics to solve this remaining problem.

Conclusions

The syntheses of 46 and 62 conclude a concise route to protected derivatives of the seco-acids of erythronolides B and A, respectively. The longest linear sequence in the synthesis of 46 employs only 13 chemical operations from 15, and the total number of steps from commercially available starting materials is a mere 18. The Evans asymmetric aldol reaction to give the furfuryl carbinol 16 established the critical stereocenters at C(4) and C(5), and the absolute configuration at this center is then exploited to create all of the stereogenic centers in the C(1)-C(10) subunit 32 of both erythronolide A and B seco-acids via the internally protected and conformationally biased bicyclic template found in 18. Final assembly of the seco-acid framework is achieved through the agency of a directed aldol reaction of the enolate of 32 with a suitably functionalized aldehyde partner such as 40 or **60**. Although this reaction is highly stereoselective for constructing the backbone of erythronolide B, it is not yet well suited for the synthesis of precursors of erythronolide A, and modifications of this process must be developed prior to launching an assault on erythromycin A. Continued application of this strategy to the syntheses of the erythromycins A and B and other highly oxygenated natural products is the subject of active investigation, the results of which will be described in due course.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. Unless noted otherwise, all starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and diethyl ether (Et₂O) were distilled from potassium/benzophenone ketyl under nitrogen prior to use. N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were distilled under reduced pressure from calcium hydride and stored over 4-Å molecular sieves under argon. Methylene chloride (CH₂Cl₂), triethylamine, diisopropylethylamine, N-methylmorpholine, hexamethyldisilazane, and oxalyl chloride were freshly distilled from calcium hydride. Benzyl chloromethyl ether was passed through a column of basic aluminum oxide. Boron trifluoride etherate was distilled from excess Et₂O and calcium hydride and stored under argon at -20 °C, and bromine was distilled from phosphorus pentoxide. All reactions involving organometallic reagents or other moisture-sensitive reactants were executed under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen or argon using oven dried glassware. Flash chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh ASTM) with the indicated solvent. Melting points are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at the indicated field strength as solutions in deuteriochloroform (CDCl₃), unless otherwise indicated. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm, δ) downfield from tetramethylsilane (TMS) ($\delta = 0.00$ ppm). Splitting patterns are designated as s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; p, pentuplet; m, multiplet; comp, complex multiplet; br, broad. Coupling constants are given in hertz (Hz). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at the indicated field strength as solutions in CDCl₃ unless otherwise indicated. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm, δ) downfield from TMS ($\delta = 0.00$ ppm) and are referenced to the deuterated solvent. IR spectra were recorded either as films on sodium chloride plates or as solutions in CHCl3 as indicated and reported in wavenumbers (cm⁻¹).

(4R,5S)-3-[(2'R,3'R)-3'-(2"-(5"-Ethylfuryl))-3'-hydroxy-2'-methylpropionyl]-4-methyl-5-phenyl-1,3-oxazolidin-2-one (16). Di-n-butylboron triflate (54.7 mL, 61.3 g, 224 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring to a solution of (4R, 5S)-3-propionyl-4-methyl-5-phenyloxazolidin-2-one¹² (52.1 g, 224 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (450 mL) at -78 °C. Triethylamine (37.4 mL, 27.1 g, 268 mmol) was added, and the reaction was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C and then for 1 h at 0 °C. After the mixture was cooled to -78 °C, a solution of 5-ethylfuraldehyde (15) (26.99 g, 218 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and then at 0 °C for 1 h, whereupon the reaction was quenched by the addition of 0.25 M aqueous NaH_2PO_4 (pH = 7) (200 mL). MeOH was added until the mixture became homogeneous. The solution was cooled to 0 °C, a mixture (1:1) of MeOH and 30% H₂O₂ (total volume of 460 mL) was added while maintaining the internal temperature <5 °C, and the solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The organic solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 250 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (1×100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude product as a solid that was recrystallized from hexanes/EtOAc to give 62.9 g (81%) of 16: mp 116-117 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 7.45-7.38 (m, 3 H), 7.37-7.28 (m, 2 H), 6.20 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.93(d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.65 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.00 (t, 1 H, J = 4.9Hz), 4.69 (p, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.21 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.84 (d, J= 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.63 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.36 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (90 MHz) δ 175.8, 157.5, 152.5, 152.4, 133.2, 128.8, 128.7, 125.7, 125.6, 107.4, 104.6, 79.0, 69.0, 54.9, 46.7, 42.8, 21.4, 14.3, 12.3, 12.1; IR (CHCl₃) v 3550, 1800, 1710 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum m/z 357.1587 (C₂₀H₂₃NO₅ requires 357.1576), 339, 177, 124, 107 (base). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₃NO₅: C, 67.21; H, 6.49; N, 3.92. Found: C, 66.92; H, 6.52; N, 3.89.

(1R,2S)-1-[2'-(5'-Ethylfuryl)]-2-methylpropane-1,3-diol (17). A solution of 2.0 M LiBH₄ in THF (57.8 mL, 115.7 mmol) was slowly added with stirring to a solution of the aldol adduct 16 (34.4 g, 96.4 mmol) in THF (500 mL) at -45 °C. The reaction was stirred for 1 h at -45 °C and then at 0 °C for 2 h. Most of the THF was removed under reduced pressure, whereupon saturated NH₄Cl (200 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL) were added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 200 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), concentrated under reduced pressure, and Et₂O (ca. 40 mL) was added to the residue. Hexanes were then added until the solution was cloudy, and the mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature and then at 0 °C; the chiral auxiliary that precipitated (11.0 g) was collected by vacuum filtration. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to give a mixture of diol 17 and the chiral auxiliary that was separated by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (4:1) to give 16.8 g (94%) of the diol together with an additional 5.9 g of the chiral auxiliary. The diol was recrystallized from ethyl acetate/ hexanes to give fine colorless needles: mp 52-53 °C; ¹H NMR (250 MHz) δ 6.08 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.86 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.75 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.66–3.50 (comp, 3 H), 3.18 (br s, 1 H), 2.57 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 2.17–2.09 (m, 1 H), 1.16 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.89 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (90 MHz) δ 157.2, 152.6, 106.1, 103.3, 69.7, 64.8, 38.7, 20.4, 11.1, 10.5; IR (CHCl₃) v 3450 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum m/z 184.1105 (C10H16O3 requires 184.1099), 166, 150, 136, 121 (base). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O₃: C, 65.19; H, 8.50. Found: C, 65.01; H, 8.50.

(1R,4S,5R)-1-Ethyl-4-methyl-2,9-dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]non-7-en-6-one (18). A solution of bromine (1.60 mL, 30.8 mmol) in 15% aqueous MeCN was added rapidly with stirring to a solution of 17 (5.61 g, 30.5 mmol) in 15% aqueous MeCN (150 mL) at -20 °C. The reaction was stirred for 30 min at -20 °C and then 30 min at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated NaHCO3 until the solution was slightly basic (pH = 8). The mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 × 200 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (3:1) to give 3.86 g (69%) of 18 as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 6.53 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.29 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.07 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1 H)1 H), 3.75 (dd, J = 12.0, 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.48 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.45–2.36 (m, 1 H), 1.70 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.66 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (20 MHz) δ 195.4, 144.0, 130.6, 94.7, 79.3, 64.8, 32.2, 31.7, 11.7, 6.6; IR (CHCl₃) v 1695, 1465 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum m/z 182.0949 (C10H14O3 requires 182.0943), 112 (base), 97, 83.

(1R,4S,5R,8R)-4,8-Dimethyl-1-ethyl-2,9-dioxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-6one. A 1.4 M solution of MeLi in Et₂O (32 mL, 44.8 mmol) was added

⁽⁴⁶⁾ For examples, see: (a) Masamune, S.; Sato, T.; Kim, B. M.; Wollmann, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 8279. (b) Paterson, I.; Lister, M. A.; McClure, C. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4787. (c) Paterson, I.; McClure, C. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 1229. (d) Paterson, I.; Lister, M. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 585. (e) Paterson, I. Chem. Ind. 1988, 390.

dropwise to a suspension of purified CuI (4.27 g, 22.4 mmol) in Et₂O (120 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting solution was then cooled to -78 °C, and a solution of 18 (2.72 g, 14.9 mmol) in Et₂O (50 mL) was added. The mixture was stirred for 15 min at -78 °C and then at -10 °C for 1 h. Saturated NH₄Cl (120 mL) and concentrated NH₄OH (20 mL) were added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3×150 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (2:1) to give 2.65 g (90%) of the product as a yellow oil: ¹H NMR (360 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 4.10 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.99 (dd, J = 11.6, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.48 (dd, J = 11.6, 6.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.80 (dd, J = 16.7, 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.53–2.31 (m, 2 H), 2.25 (ddd, 1 H, J = 15.7, 4.1, 1.1 Hz), 1.89-1.53 (m, 2 H), 1.06 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.89 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H)3 H); ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 208.3, 100.3, 79.5, 64.4, 45.0, 35.1, 33.2, 28.2, 17.7, 13.3, 7.5; IR (CHCl₃) v 1718, 1460 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum m/z198.1252 (C11H18O3 requires 198.1256), 128, 117, 100, 69, 57 (base).

(1R,4S,5R,6R,8R)-1-Ethyl-6-hydroxy-4,6,8-trimethyl-2,9-dioxabicyclo-[3.3.1]nonane (19). Cerium(III) chloride heptahydrate (8.02 g, 21.5 mmol) was heated in vacuo (0.1 mmHg) for 2 h at 150 °C (oil bath). Argon was bled into the flask, the flask was allowed to cool to room temperature, and THF (100 mL) was added. The resulting suspension was stirred for 2 h at room temperature and then cooled to -78 °C. A 1.4 M solution of MeLi in Et₂O (15.4 mL, 21.5 mmol) was added, and the mixture stirred for 30 min at -78 °C, whereupon a solution of the ketone from the previous experiment (2.24 g, 11.3 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added. After the mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at -78 °C, the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated NH4Cl (25 mL), and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Water (ca. 10 mL) was added to dissolve the remaining solids, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 50 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (1:1) to give 2.28 g (94%) of 19: ¹H NMR (360 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 3.97 (t, J = 11.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.78 (dd, J = 11.1, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.57 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.47 (dddd, J = 11.1, 7.5, 6.5,5.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.23 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.13-2.07 (m, 1 H), 1.82 (s, 1 H), 1.59-1.42 (comp, 3 H), 1.41 (s, 3 H), 1.05 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.00 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 98.3, 78.3, 71.6, 66.8, 44.4, 35.1, 34.8, 33.2, 31.1, 19.5, 14.5, 7.5; IR (CHCl₃) v 3460 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum m/z 214.1572 (C12H22O3 requires 214.1569), 197, 185, 171, 155, 137, 126, 111, 98 (base), 85.

(1S,3R,4R,6R)-1-Ethyl-4,6-dimethyl-3-[(2'S)-1'-hydroxy-2'-methyleth-2'-yl]-2,7-dioxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (21). A slurry containing the tertiary alcohol 19 (427 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (PPTS) (100 mg, 0.4 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was stirred at ca. -15 °C (ice-salt bath) for 1 h. Following the addition of Et₂O (50 mL), the mixture was immediately filtered through a plug (0.2×15 cm) of silica gel. The crude product was purified by HPLC, eluting with hexanes/ EtOAc (3:1) to afford the primary alcohol 21 (370 mg, 87%) as a colorless oil together with small amounts of the secondary alcohol 22. 21: 1H NMR (360 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 3.67 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.53 (dd, J = 10.3, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.44 (dd, J = 10.3, 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.97 (m, 1 H), 1.85 (m, 1 H), 1.70 (m, 1 H), 1.60 (t, J = 11.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.49 (m, 1 H), 1.19 (s, 3 H), 1.04 (m, 6 H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.91 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 111.1, 84.6, 83.1, 66.7, 46.1, 41.7, 37.7, 23.9, 16.4, 15.4, 11.4, 8.7; mass spectrum m/z 214.1574 (C12H22O3 requires 214.1569), 155, 140, 126, 109 (base). 22: ¹H NMR (360 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 3.56 (m, 1 H), 3.32 (dd, J = 12.2, 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.79 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.90 (m, 1 H), 1.65–1.79 (m, 2 H), 1.45–1.62 (m, 3 H), 1.29 (s, 3 H), 1.00 (m, 6 H), 0.73 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 108.2, 84.5, 81.3, 64.2, 41.2, 38.0, 29.2, 27.0, 15.0, 12.9, 8.3; IR (film) ν 3410 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum m/z 214.1564 (C₁₂H₂₂O₃ requires 214.1569), 143 (base), 125, 98.

(4R,5S)-3-{(2'R,3'S,4'S)-3'-Hydroxy-2',4'-dimethyl-4'-[(1''S,3''R,4''R,6''R)-(1''-ethyl-4'',6''-dimethyl-2'',7''-dioxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-3''-yl]-1'-butanoyi}-4-methyl-5-phenyl-1,3-oxazolidin-2-one (24). Triethylamine (0.29 mL, 2.1 mmol) was added to a solution of *freshly prepared* di-n-butylboron triflate (0.33 mL, 1.3 mmol) and (4R,5S)-3propionyl-4-methyl-5-phenyl-1,3-oxazolidin-2-one¹² (330 mg, 1.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (7 mL) at -78 °C. The solution was then stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and at 0 °C for 1 h, whereupon the resulting solution of boron enolate was recooled to -78 °C while the aldehyde 23 was prepared in a separate flask.

DMSO (0.27 mL, 3.7 mmol) was added to a solution of freshly distilled oxalyl chloride (0.16 mL, 1.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) at -60 °C, and the stirring was continued for 10 min. A solution of 21 (200 mg, 0.94 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4.5 mL) was added dropwise. After the mixture was stirred for 10 min, triethylamine (0.78 mL, 5.6 mmol) was added, and the bath was allowed to warm slowly over 30 min to 0 °C. The solution of aldehyde 23 thus obtained was then transferred to the solution of boron enolate prepared above. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and then at 0 °C for 45 min. The reaction was quenched by $adding 0.25 M NaH_2PO_4$ buffer (15 mL). After the solution was warmed to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with MeOH (ca. 50 mL) to give a single phase, and a solution of 30% aqueous H_2O_2 (2 mL) in MeOH (2 mL) was added. The solution was stirred for 45 min, and the MeOH was evaporated under reduced pressure. The aqueous mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3×25 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated to an oil under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (2:1) to give 24 (258 mg, 62%) as a white foam: ¹H NMR (360 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 7.00 (m, 3 H), 6.81 (m, 2 H), 4.56 (d, J =7.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.52 (m, 1 H), 4.47 (m, 1 H), 4.25 (m, 1 H), 3.82 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.58 (br s, 1 H), 1.92-1.98 (comp, 2 H), 1.79 (m, 1 H), 1.64 (m, 1 H), 1.62 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.55 (t, J = 11.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.41 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.28 (s, 3 H), 1.03 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.96 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (dd, J = 11.6, 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 0.53 (d, J = 6.6Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (90 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 176.9, 152.3, 134.1, 128.6, 128.5, 125.8, 111.5, 85.4, 84.8, 78.3, 75.9, 54.5, 46.1, 41.6, 41.1, 37.6, 23.8, 16.3, 15.4, 14.0, 9.0, 8.6; IR (film) v 3500, 1785, 1690 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum m/z 445.2457 (C₂₅H₃₅NO₆ requires 445.2464), 446 (M + 1), 428, 371, 233, 178, 107 (base).

(2S,3R,4R,6R)-7,7-(Ethylenedithio)-3,4-(isopropylidenedioxy)-2,4,6trimethylnonanol (28). A solution of bicyclic ketal 19 (648 mg, 3.03 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) containing 1,2-bis[(trimethylsilyl)thio]ethane (1.28 g, 6.66 mmol) at -78 °C was treated with TiCl₄ (0.67 mL, 6.06 mmol). After it was stirred at -78 °C for 1 h, the reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 1.5 h, whereupon saturated NaHCO3 (ca. 2 mL) was added and stirring continued for 1 h. The layers were separated, and the organic layer was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography using hexanes/EtOAc (1:1) as the eluent to provide 771 mg of a mixture of diastereomeric triols 26 and 27 as a colorless glass. This mixture was dissolved in anhydrous acetone (15 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) containing a catalytic amount of camphorsulfonic acid, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. Saturated NaHCO₃ (ca. 3 mL) was added, and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 5 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (4:1) to give 28 (682) mg, 65%) as a white solid and 175 mg (17%) of 29 as a colorless oil. 28: mp 73-74 °C (white needles recrystallized from hexane); ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 3.80 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.61 (m, 1 H), 3.25–3.14 (comp, 4 H), 2.26 (m, 1 H), 2.07–1.81 (comp, 5 H), 1.56 (dd, J = 14.5, 9.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.40 (s, 3 H), 1.30 (s, 3 H), 1.22 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.17 (s, 3 H), 1.12 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.07 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 106.2, 82.5, 81.7, 79.8, 65.9, 43.1, 40.0, 39.6, 39.4, 35.9, 34.2, 28.8, 26.8, 24.5, 20.0, 14.0, 10.5; IR (film) v 3040, 1420 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 349, 291, 273, 197 (base), 133. Anal. Calcd for C17H32O3S2: C, 58.58; H, 9.25. Found: C, 58.77; H, 9.44. 29: 1H NMR (300 MHz) δ 3.62 (dd, J = 10.6, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.55 (d, J = 7.9Hz, 1 H), 3.50 (dd, J = 10.6, 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.20-3.11 (comp, 4 H),2.25-1.36 (comp, 7 H), 1.35 (s, 3 H), 1.26 (s, 3 H), 1.17 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.12 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 1.04 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 106.2, 85.0, 82.5, 79.4, 65.2, 44.0, 40.0, 39.6, 39.2, 35.6, 34.2, 28.6, 26.6, 20.8, 19.1, 14.6, 10.5; IR (film) v 3400, 1450 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 349.1881 [C₁₇H₃₃O₃S₂ (M + 1) requires 349.1871], 291, 273, 255, 197, 133 (base).

(4R,6R,7R,8S)-9-Hydroxy-6,7-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8-trimethylnonan-3-one (30). A mixture of dithiolane 28 (604 mg, 1.74 mmol) and bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodobenzene (1.12 g, 2.60 mmol) in MeOH/ H₂O (9:1, 17 mL) was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min. The reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ (ca. 5 mL) and then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (4 × 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (2 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (2:1) to provide 422 mg (89%) of ketone 30 as a clear colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 3.56–3.52 (comp, 2 H), 3.48 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.89 (m, 1 H), 2.52 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 2.18 (dd, J = 14.2, 9.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.85–1.76 (comp, 2 H), 1.42 (dd, J = 14.2, 2.9Hz, 1 H), 1.34 (s, 3 H), 1.20 (s, 3 H), 1.14 (s, 3 H), 1.08 (d, J = 6.7Hz, 3 H), 1.06 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.02 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 215.8, 106.0, 83.6, 81.5, 64.9, 42.8, 41.5, 35.6, 34.5, 28.6, 26.3, 22.2, 19.3, 14.5, 7.8; IR (film) ν 3430, 1725 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 273 (M + 1), 197 (base).

(2R,3R,4R,6R)-3,4-(Isopropylidenedioxy)-2,4,6-trimethyl-7-oxononanal (31). To a solution of freshly distilled oxalyl chloride (0.16 mL, 1.82 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) at -60 °C was added DMSO (0.26 mL, 3.65 mmol). After the mixture was stirred at -60 °C for 15 min, a solution of 30 (242 mg, 0.91 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was introduced. The reaction mixture was stirred at -60 °C for an additional 15 min and then treated with Et₃N (0.76 mL, 5.47 mmol) and warmed to room temperature over 30 min. The mixture was diluted with Et₂O (ca. 50 mL), and then washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ ($1 \times 10 \text{ mL}$), saturated aqueous CuSO₄ (1 × 10 mL), and saturated aqueous NaCl (1 × 10 mL). The resulting solution was dried (Na2SO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (3:1) to give 222 mg (92%) of aldehyde 31 as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 9.61 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.83 (d, J = 8.7Hz, 1 H), 2.84 (dqd, J = 9.9, 6.8, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.49 (qd, J = 7.2, 1.6 Hz, 2 H), 2.11 (dd, J = 14.0, 9.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.32 (dd, J = 14.0, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.20 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (s, 3 H), 1.01 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.00 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) & 214.8, 202.0, 106.9, 82.2, 81.4, 47.2, 43.2, 41.4, 34.6, 28.4, 26.1, 22.2, 19.1, 11.8, 7.7; IR (film) v 1745 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 271 (M + 1), 43 (base).

(4R,6R,7R,8S,9R,10S)-9-Hydroxy-6,7-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8,-10-tetramethyldodec-11-en-3-one (32) and (4R,6R,7R,8S,9R,10R)-9-Hydroxy-6,7-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8,10-tetramethyldodec-11-en-3one (33). To a solution of aldehyde 31 (122 mg, 0.45 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4.5 mL) at -90 °C was added boron trifluoride etherate (0.12 mL, 0.95 mmol). After this mixture was stirred at -90 °C for 5 min, tri-nbutylcrotylstannane (234 mg, 0.68 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at -90 °C for 30 min, quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (2 mL), and warmed to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a mixture of adducts that was separated by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (2: 1) to give 97 mg (66%) of 32 and 24 mg (16%) of 33, each of which was recrystallized from hexanes to give colorless needles. 32: mp 113-114 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 5.56 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.2, 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (ddd, J = 17.1, 1.3, 0.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.98 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.56(d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.26 (ddd, J = 9.7, 5.1, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.91 (dqd, J)J = 9.0, 7.1, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.53 (m, 1 H), 2.31 (m, 1 H), 2.24 (d, J =5.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.23 (dd, J = 14.4, 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.90 (m, 1 H), 1.41 (dd, J = 14.4, 2.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.33 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (s, 3 H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.09 (s, 3 H), 1.08 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.02 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 216.0, 140.4, 115.0, 105.6, 83.9, 81.5, 75.3, 42.9, 42.1, 41.5, 35.4, 34.5, 28.5, 26.3, 22.6, 19.4, 17.8, 8.9, 7.7; IR (film) v 3440, 1700, 1635, 1000, 920 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 327.2523 [C₁₉H₃₅O₄ (M + 1) requires 327.2535], 311, 269, 251 (base), 213, 195. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₄O₄: C, 69.90; H, 10.50. Found: C, 70.03; H, 10.67. 33: mp 98-99 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 5.67 (m, 1 H), 5.17–5.11 (comp, 2 H), 3.71 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.18 (ddd, J = 9.4, 2.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.88 (ddq, J = 9.1, 7.1, 3.4 Hz, 1 H),2.51 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.25 (m, 1 H), 2.22 (dd, J = 14.3, 9.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.89 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.88 (m, 1 H), 1.42 (dd, J = 14.3, 3.4Hz, 1 H), 1.33 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (s, 3 H), 1.13 (s, 3 H), 1.06 (d, J = 7.1Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 215.4, 141.6, 116.8, 105.6, 84.2, 81.4, 73.3, 43.4, 42.4, 41.8, 34.3, 34.2, 28.6, 26.3, 21.8, 19.3, 16.2, 9.4, 7.8; IR (film) v 3460, 1705, 1635, 1000, 925 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 327.2516 [C₁₉H₃₅O₄ (M + 1) requires 327.2535], 311, 269, 251 (base), 233, 195.

(45)-3-[(2'5,3'R)-3'-Hydroxy-2'-methylpentanoyl]-4-isopropyl-1,3-oxazolidin-2-one (34). To a solution of (4S)-4-isopropyl-3-propionyl-1,3oxazolidin-2-one (1.01 g, 5.46 mmol) and (n-Bu)₂BOTf (1.47 mL, 6.00 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (18 mL) at -78 °C was added Et₃N (0.95 mL, 6.80 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min, warmed to 0 °C, stirred an additional 1 h, then cooled to -78 °C once again at which time propionaldehyde (0.55 mL, 7.60 mmol) was introduced. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min, warmed to 0 °C, stirred an additional 1 h, then quenched with 0.25 M aqueous NaH₂PO₄ (ca. 15 mL) and warmed to room temperature. The mixture was diluted with MeOH (ca. 50 mL) to give a single phase, then cooled to 0 °C and treated with a mixture (1:1) of MeOH (7 mL) and 30% aqueous H₂O₂ (7 mL). The mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 45 min, whereupon the MeOH was removed under reduced pressure. The residual aqueous phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 75 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (2:1) to give 1.12 g (84%) of 34 as a solid. Recrystallization from hexanes/ether at -20 °C gave colorless plates: mp 37.5–39 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 4.47 (dt, J = 8.0, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.28 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.21 (dd, J = 8.0, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.84 (ddd, J = 10.9, 5.0, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.78 (dq, J = 7.0, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.00 (d, J= 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.34 (m, 1 H), 1.55 (m, 1 H), 1.43 (m, 1 H), 1.23 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.91 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), $0.87 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H); {}^{13}C NMR (75 MHz) 177.4, 153.4, 72.5, 63.2,$ 58.1, 41.5, 28.2, 26.5, 17.6, 14.5, 10.6, 10.2; IR (CHCl₃) v 3500, 1795, 1695 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 243 (M + 1), 57 (base). Anal. Calcd for C12H21NO4: C, 59.24; H, 8.70; N, 5.76. Found: C, 59.21; H, 8.73; N, 5.64.

(4S)-3-[(2'S.3'S)-3'-((Triethylsilyl)oxy)-2'-methylpentanoyl]-4-isopropyl-1,3-oxazolidin-2-one (35). To a solution of alcohol 34 (494 mg, 2.03 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at 0 °C was added DMAP (1.49 g, 12.2 mmol) and chlorotriethylsilane (1.36 mL, 8.13 mmol). The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 1 h. Et₂O (75 mL) was then added, and the mixture was washed with $H_2O(1 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, saturated aqueous CuSO₄ ($1 \times 10 \text{ mL}$), and saturated aqueous NaCl ($1 \times 10 \text{ mL}$) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The excess solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (5:1) to give 640 mg (88%) of ether 35 as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 4.37 (ddd, J = 6.8, 3.9, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.24– 4.17 (comp, 2 H), 3.97-3.83 (comp, 2 H), 2.37 (m, 1 H), 1.51 (m, 2 H), 1.17 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 9 H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.4Hz, 3 H), 0.85 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 6 H), 0.56 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 175.5, 153.6, 74.1, 63.3, 58.9, 42.6, 28.6, 28.5, 18.0, 14.8, 12.7, 9.3, 6.8, 5.3; IR (film) v 1800, 1720, 1410, 1260 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 358.2407 [C₁₈H₃₆NO₄Si (M + 1) requires 358.2414] (base), 328, 226. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₃₅NO₄Si: C, 60.46; H, 9.87; N, 3.92. Found: C, 60.19; H, 9.95; N, 3.80.

(2S,3R)-2-Methyl-3-[(triethylsilyl)oxy]pentanoic Acid Benzyl Ester. To a solution of benzyl alcohol (1.75 mL, 17.0 mmol) in THF (7 mL) at 0 °C was added n-butyllithium (5.40 mL, 2.53 M solution in hexanes). After the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, a portion (ca. 6 mL) of this solution was transferred to a solution of imide 35 (605 mg, 1.70 mmol) in THF (7 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, warmed to room temperature, and stirred an additional 30 min, whereupon the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (ca. 5 mL). The mixture was diluted with Et₂O (ca. 50 mL), the layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et2O $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (20:1) to provide 470 mg (83%) of the ester as an oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 7.40–7.30 (comp, 5 H), 5.13 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.96 (q, J = 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.59 (qd, J = 7.0, 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.49 (m, 2 H), 1.15 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 9 H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 Hz, 3 Hz)H), 0.56 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 174.9, 136.3, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 74.6, 66.0, 44.6, 28.1, 11.6, 9.4, 6.8, 5.3; IR (film) v 3070, 3040, 1745, 1470 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 337 (M + 1) (base), 307.1731 [C₁₇H₂₇O₃Si (M - C₂H₅) requires 307.1730], 119.

(2R,3R)-2-Methyl-3-[(triethylsilyl)oxy]pentanol (37). To a solution of the above ester (410 mg, 1.22 mmol) in Et₂O (12 mL) at -78 °C was added diisobutylaluminum hydride (2.91 mL, 1 M solution in hexanes). After it was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min, the reaction mixture was warmed to 0 °C and stirred for an additional 30 min; MeOH (1.5 mL) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The mixture was added to saturated aqueous potassium sodium tartarate (10 mL), and the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $Et_2O(4 \times 30 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (elution with 4:1 pentane/Et₂O) to give 260 mg (92%) of 37 as a clear colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 3.72-3.64 (comp, 2 H), 3.52 (m, 1 H), 2.72 (m, 1 H), 1.93 (m, 1 H), 1.48 (m, 2 H), 0.95 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9 H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.80 (d, J =7.1 Hz, 3 H), 0.60 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 77.1, 66.2, 39.5, 25.9, 11.5, 10.6, 6.8, 5.3; IR (film) v 3350, 1390, 1255 cm⁻¹;

mass spectrum (CI) m/z 233.1932 [C₁₂H₂₉O₂Si (M + 1) requires 233.1937] (base), 203, 133.

(2S,3R)-2-Methyl-3-[(triethylsilyl)oxy]pentanal (39). DMSO (78 µL, 1.10 mmol) was added to a solution of oxalyl chloride (48 µL, 0.55 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) at -60 °C and the solution stirred for 15 min, whereupon a solution of primary alcohol 37 (64 mg, 0.28 mmol) in CH2-Cl₂ (1 mL) was added. After 15 min, triethylamine (0.23 mL, 1.66 mmol) was added, and the reaction was warmed to room temperature over a period of 20 min. The reaction mixture was added to Et₂O (ca. 15 mL), washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (1×4 mL), saturated aqueous CuSO₄ ($1 \times 4 \text{ mL}$), and saturated NaCl ($1 \times 2 \text{ mL}$). The organic solution was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with pentane/Et₂O (20:1) to provide 40 mg (63%) of aldehyde 39 as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 9.75 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.03 (td, J = 6.6, 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.44 (qdd, J = 6.9, 3.7, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.50 (m, 2 H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 9 H), 7.5 (t, J =Hz, 3 H), 0.56 (q, J = 7.9 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 205.1, 73.6, 51.0, 27.6, 10.0, 7.7, 6.8, 5.2; IR (film) v 1740, 1400, 1265 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 231 (M + 1), 201, 173 (base), 133.

(3R,4R,5S,6R,8R,10R,11R,12S,13R,14S)-5,13-Dihydroxy-10,11-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethyl-3-[(triethylsilyl)oxy]hexadec-15-en-7-one (41) and (3R,4R,5R,6S,8R,10R,11R,12S,13R,14S)-5,13-Dihydroxy-10,11-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethyl-3-[(triethylsilyl)oxy]hexadec-15-en-7-one (43). To a solution of lithium hexamethyldisilazide (1.01 mmol) in THF (1 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of ketone 32 (110 mg, 0.34 mmol) in THF (1.5 mL). After the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 3 h, a solution of the aldehyde 39 (140 mg, 0.61 mmol) in THF (1.5 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for an additional 30 min. The reaction was quenched at -78 °C with saturated aqueous NH4Cl (1 mL), and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/acetone (15:1) to afford 46 mg (42%) of recovered 32, 64 mg (34%, 59% based upon recovered 32) of desired aldol adduct 41, and 24 mg (13%, 22% based upon recovered 32) of the minor adduct 43, each as colorless oils. 41: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 5.56 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.3, 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (ddd, J = 17.2, 1.7, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.98 (dd, J = 10.3, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.02 (ddd, J = 9.8, 2.0, 1.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.87 (ddd, J = 7.4, 5.7, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.73 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.64 (d, J = 8.1Hz, 1 H), 3.25 (ddd, J = 5.0, 4.9, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.13 (qdd, J = 8.3, 7.2, J)3.4 Hz, 1 H), 2.78 (qd, J = 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.30 (m, 1 H), 2.20 (dd, J = 14.3, 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.94 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.90 (dqd, J = 8.1, 6.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.70 (dqd, J = 9.8, 7.0, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.59-1.50 (comp, 2 H), 1.42 (dd, J = 14.3, 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.32 (s, 3 H), 1.23 (s, 3 H), 1.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.10 (s, 3 H), 1.08 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.07 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 Hz), 1.07 (d, J = 6.6 Hz), 1.07 (d, JJ = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 0.94 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9 H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.77 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.60 (q, J = 8.0Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 218.3, 140.4, 115.2, 105.8, 84.4, 81.5, 76.3, 75.5, 72.3, 48.0, 43.6, 42.2, 39.5, 39.4, 35.3, 28.6, 26.4, 25.8, 22.3, 19.7, 17.7, 11.4, 11.0, 8.9, 7.8, 6.9, 5.1; IR (film) v 3450, 1700, 1640, 1380, 1010, 920 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 556.4163 (C₃₁H₆₀O₆Si requires 556.4159), 499, 481, 451, 349, 269, 251, 201, 173 (base). 43: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 5.56 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.2, 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (ddd, J = 17.1, 1.7, 0.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.99 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.00(ddd, J = 6.0, 4.8, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.0, 2.4 Hz, 1 H),3.68 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.28 (m, 1 H), 3.22 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.06(pd, J = 7.1, 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.01 (qd, J = 6.9, 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.31 (m, 1)H), 2.25 (dd, J = 14.4, 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.01 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.91 (dqd, J = 8.1, 6.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.65 (qdd, J = 6.9, 6.0, 2.4 Hz, 1 H),1.58-1.46 (comp, 2 H), 1.37 (dd, J = 14.4, 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.34 (s, 3 H), 1.25 (s, 3 H), 1.14 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.11 (s, 3 H), 1.10 (d, J = 6.9Hz, 1 H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.96 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9 H), 0.92 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.81 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), $0.60 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 6 H); {}^{13}C NMR (125 MHz) \delta 217.3, 140.2, 115.3,$ 106.1, 84.4, 81.9, 75.6, 75.2, 73.4, 48.2, 42.2, 42.0, 40.3, 38.1, 35.4, 28.4, 27.2, 26.4, 22.2, 19.9, 17.7, 10.8, 10.3, 8.9, 8.5, 7.0, 5.5; IR (film) v 3060, 1705, 1640, 1385, 990, 920 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 557.4235 $[C_{31}H_{61}O_6Si (M + 1) requires 557.4237], 251, 201, 173 (base).$

(2R,3S,4S,5R,6R,8R,10R,11S,12R,13R)-13-[(Triethylsilyl)oxy]-3,11dibydroxy-5,6-(isopropylidenedioxy)-2,4,6,8,10,12-hexamethyl-9-oxopentadecanoic Acid Methyl Ester (45). A solution of 41 (11 mg, 0.02 mmol) and Sudan III (1 mg) in EtOAc (2 mL) at -78 °C was treated with ozone until the dye began to fade, at which time 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene (0.15

mL, 1.3 mmol) was added all in one portion. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 30 min and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in 10% aqueous THF (2 mL), magnesium monoperoxyphthalate (40 mg, 0.08 mmol) was added, and the resulting solution was heated at reflux for 4 h. After it was cooled to room temperature, the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 \times 5 mL); the combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give 11 mg of the crude acid. The acid was dissolved in Et₂O (1 mL) at 0 °C and treated with excess diazomethane. The solvent was then removed, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/acetone (7:1) to give 7 mg (60% overall yield) of 45: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 4.05 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (s, 1 H), 3.84 (ddd, J = 7.7, 5.5, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.66 (comp, 1 H), 3.65 (s, 3 H), 3.61 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.15 (dqd, J = 7.9, 7.0,3.2, 1 H), 2.76 (qd, J = 6.9, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.63 (dq, J = 9.3, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.36 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.22 (dd, J = 14.4, 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.70 (comp, 2 H), 1.54 (comp, 2 H), 1.47 (dd, J = 14.4, 3.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.32(s, 3 H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.22 (s, 3 H), 1.13 (d, J = 7.0 Hz,3 H), 1.11 (s, 3 H), 1.07 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 9 H), 0.90 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.76 (d, J =7.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.59 (q, J = 7.9 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 218.7, 175.4, 105.7, 83.4, 81.5, 72.4, 51.7, 48.1, 43.6, 43.4, 39.6, 39.4, 36.4, 28.6, 26.5, 25.7, 22.0, 19.8, 14.9, 11.5, 11.1, 9.7, 7.8, 6.9, 5.0; IR (film) 3500, 3000, 1750, 1720, 1480, 1400, 1030 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 589 (M + 1) (base), 531, 301, 283, 173.

(3S,4R,5S,6R,7R,9R,10S,11S,12R,13R,14R)-14-[(Triethylsilyl)oxy]-6,7-(isopropylidenedioxy)-3,5,7,9,11,13-hexamethylhexadec-1-ene-4,10,-12-triol. A solution of ketone 41 (20.0 mg, 0.04 mmol) in CH₃CN (1) mL) was added to a solution of Me₄NBH(OAc)₃ (284 mg, 1.08 mmol) in a mixture of CH₃CN (0.5 mL) and HOAc (0.5 mL) at -40 °C. After the mixture was stirred at -40 °C for 2 h, TLC showed the presence of unreacted 41, and an additional amount of Me₄NBH(OAc)₃ (140 mg, 0.53 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at -40 °C for 3 h, quenched with saturated NaHCO₃ (ca. 2 mL), and warmed to room temperature. Solid NaHCO3 was added to neutralize excess HOAc, then the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ($3 \times 10 \text{ mL}$). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/acetone (7:1) to afford 8.0 mg (40%) of the desired 9(S)-alcohol as a colorless oil together with 1.5 mg (7%) of the 9(R)epimer: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 5.59 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.2, 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.07 (dd, J = 17.1, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.99 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.51(s, 1 H), 4.08 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (d, J = 10.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.88 (d, J = 10.3 HJ = 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (comp, 2 H), 3.33 (dd, J = 9.0, 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.19 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.33 (m, 1 H), 2.14 (m, 1 H), 1.91 (m, 2 H),1.87 (dd, J = 14.9, 5.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.67 (m, 1 H), 1.41 (s, 3 H), 1.33 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (s, 3 H),3 H), 1.15 (s, 3 H), 1.08 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 0.98 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9 H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.91 (d, J =6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.73 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 0.64 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 6 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) & 140.6, 114.9, 105.6, 82.7, 80.2, 76.6, 75.0, 73.3, 42.1, 41.8, 40.0, 35.7, 35.6, 32.2, 28.7, 26.9, 23.9, 23.0, 17.9, 16.6, 13.5, 11.5, 10.5, 9.0, 6.8, 4.9; mass spectrum (CI) 559 (M + 1, base).

(2S,3R,4S,5R,6R,8R,9S,10S,11S,12S,13R)-3,5,6,9,11,13-Hexahydroxy-2,4,6,8,10,12-hexamethylpentadecanol (48). A solution of the olefin from the preceding experiment (7 mg, 0.013 mmol) and Sudan III (1 mg) in EtOAc (2 mL) at -78 °C was treated with ozone until the dye began to fade, whereupon 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene (0.15 mL, 1.3 mmol) was added in one portion. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 30 min and concentrated under reduced pressure. The oily residue was immediately dissolved in THF (2 mL), cooled to 0 °C, and treated with LiAlH₄ (10 mg, 0.26 mmol). After it was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, the reaction mixture was quenched by the successive addition of $H_2O(10 \,\mu L)$, 10% aqueous NaOH (10 μL), and $H_2O(30 \,\mu L)$ then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/acetone (3:1) to give 3.5 mg of primary alcohol that was dissolved in a mixture (1:1) of MeOH/H₂O (2 mL) that previously had been saturated with NH₂-OH·HCl, and then KH2PO4 (40 mg, 0.29 mmol) was added. The resulting solution was heated at reflux for 3 h, cooled to room temperature, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The aqueous residue was neutralized with solid NaHCO₃ and extracted with EtOAc (5×2 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (85:15) to furnish 1.5 mg (60%) of synthetic polyol 48 as an oil; this substance was identical with the sample prepared from natural erythronolide B (49) as outlined below: ¹H NMR (500

MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 4.11 (m, 1 H), 4.00 (br s, 1 H), 3.96 (br s, 1 H), 3.86 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.75 (comp, 3 H), 3.63 (comp, 2 H), 3.52 (comp, 4 H), 1.86 (dd, J = 14.5, 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.78 (m, 1 H), 1.71 (comp, 2 H), 1.49 (m, 1 H), 1.42 (comp, 2 H), 1.31 (dd, J = 14.5, 5.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.19 (s, 3 H), 0.99 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.74 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H) (some OH's not detected); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 81.1, 79.1, 76.9, 75.8, 75.4, 72.7, 65.8, 44.2, 41.0, 39.0, 37.8, 36.3, 31.6, 26.8, 23.5, 16.2, 13.4, 11.6, 10.1, 8.0.

From erythronolide B (49): To a solution of 49 (75 mg, 0.19 mmol) in toluene (2.5 mL) and t-BuOH (0.5 mL) at 75 °C was added NaBH₄ (212 mg, 5.58 mmol) in four portions, and the reaction mixture was heated at 75 °C for 45 min. The mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched with an ice-pH = 7 buffer mixture (ca. 3 mL). The aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ (20:1) to give 55 mg (73%) of 9(S)-dihydroerythronolide B and 3 mg (4%) of 9(R)-dihydroerythronolide B, both as oils. 9(S)-Dihydroerythronolide B: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 5.22 (dd, J = 9.4, 4.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.32 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.26 (d, J = 3.4Hz, 1 H), 4.13 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.12 (s, 1 H), 3.92 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.77 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.53 (s, 1 H), 3.48 (m, 1 H), 2.99 (dd, 1 H)J = 8.7, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.85 (comp, 2 H), 2.71 (dq, J = 10.3, 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.93 (m, 1 H), 1.80 (m, 1 H), 1.74 (dd, J = 4.6, 2.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.65 (comp, 2 H), 1.55 (m, 1 H), 1.23 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.21 (s, 3 H),1.20 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 3 H), 1.00 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.99 (d, J = 7.0Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.80 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{ acetone-} d_6) \delta 178.0, 82.8, 81.2, 81.0, 76.3, 71.4, 44.6, 41.6,$ 41.5, 37.1, 34.8, 33.0, 27.2, 26.2, 18.0, 15.0, 10.9, 9.1, 6.4.

To a solution of 9(S)-dihydroerythronolide B (27 mg, 0.07 mmol) in THF (4 mL) at 0 °C was added LiAlH₄ (254 mg, 6.68 mmol). The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 15 min then heated at reflux for 3.5 h. The suspension was cooled to 0 °C and treated successively with H₂O (254 μ L), 10% aqueous NaOH (254 μ L), and H₂O (762 μ L). The organic mixture was then dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (85:15) to give 15 mg (56%) of natural erythronolide B polyol (**48**) as an oil.

(4S,2'S,3'R)-3-[3'-((Benzyloxy)methoxy)-2'-methylpentanoyl]-4-isopropyl-1,3-oxazolidin-2-one (36). To a solution of secondary alcohol 34 (968 mg, 3.98 mmol) and benzyl chloromethyl ether (1.66 mL, 12.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) at 0 °C was added i-Pr₂NEt (1.38 mL, 7.97 mmol) dropwise. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min and then at room temperature for 45 h. The excess solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (5:1) to provide 1.31 g (91%) of 36 as a white solid: mp 64-64.5 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.33-7.24 (comp, 5 H), 4.75 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.74 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.60 (d, J= 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.54 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.12 (ddd, J = 8.0, 3.8, 2.4 Hz, 1 H, 4.04 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.99 (qd, J = 6.8, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.96 (dd, J = 9.0, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.83 (td, J = 6.2, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.32 (dd, J = 7.0, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.64–1.58 (m, 2 H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.8Hz, 3 H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.80 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.79 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 174.9, 153.9, 138.0, 128.3, 127.5, 127.4, 94.5, 80.0, 69.8, 63.1, 58.9, 41.0, 28.2, 25.6, 17.9, 14.5, 11.5, 10.0; IR (film) v 3080, 3050 1785, 1710 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 364.2119 [C₂₀H₃₀NO₅ (M + 1) requires 364.2124], 364, 256 (base), 226, 130, 91. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₉NO₅: C, 66.09; H, 8.04; N, 3.85. Found: C, 66.18; H, 8.13; N, 3.80.

(2R,3R)-3-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-2-methylpentanol (38). A solution of LiBH₄ (3.46 mmol, 1.73 mL of a 2 M solution in THF) was added dropwise to a solution of 36 (1.14 g, 3.15 mmol) in Et₂O (30 mL) containing H₂O (62 µL, 3.47 mmol) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The excess hydride was quenched by adding saturated NH₄Cl (ca. 5 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (3:1) to provide 694 mg (92%) of 38 as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 7.34–7.26 (comp, 5 H), 4.82 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.77 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.67 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.62 (d, J= 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.63-3.61 (comp, 2 H), 3.52 (ddd, J = 11.2, 7.2, 5.4Hz, 1 H), 2.56 (dd, J = 7.2, 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.02–1.89 (m, 1 H), 1.73–1.43 $(m, 2 H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.84 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H); {}^{13}C NMR$ (75 MHz) δ 137.5, 128.5, 127.8, 127.8, 94.5, 81.3, 70.0, 65.4, 37.6, 24.0,

10.8, 10.5; IR (film) ν 3400 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 239.1650 [C₁₄H₂₃O₃ (M + 1) requires 239.1647], 221, 131 (base), 108, 101.

(2S,3R)-3-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-2-methylpentanal (40). DMSO (0.41 mL, 5.83 mmol) was added to a solution of freshly distilled oxalyl chloride (0.25 mL, 2.92 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (12 mL) at -60 °C. After the mixture was stirred for 15 min at -60 °C, a solution of the alcohol 38 (347 mg, 1.46 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at -60 °C for 15 min. After the mixture was cooled to -70 °C, N-methylmorpholine (0.64 mL, 5.83 mmol) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over a period of 30 min. The resulting white suspension was diluted with Et₂O (ca. 25 mL) and then passed through a plug of silica gel. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (6:1) to give 312 mg (91%) of aldehyde 40as a clear, colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 9.78 (s, 1 H), 7.36-7.25 (comp, 5H), 4.80 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.75 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.58(d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.53 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.01 (ddd, J = 6.8),6.8, 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.56 (qd, J = 7.0, 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.80–1.50 (m, 2 H), 1.11 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 204.2, 137.8, 128.4, 127.8, 127.7, 94.2, 78.8, 69.9, 49.5, 24.9, 10.2, 7.9; IR (film) v 1740 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 237.1490 [C₁₄H₂₁O₃ (M + 1) requires 237.1491], 149, 129 (base).

(3R,4R,5S,6R,8R,10R,11R,12S,13R,14S)-3-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-5,-13-dihydroxy-10,11-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethylhexadec-15-en-7-one (42) and (3R,4R,5R,6S,8R,10R,11R,12S,13R,14S)-3-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-5,13-dihydroxy-10,11-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethylhexadec-15-en-7-one (44). A solution of ketone 32 (58 mg, 0.18 mmol) in THF (0.6 mL) was added to a solution of lithium hexamethyldisilazide (0.53 mmol) in THF (0.7 mL) at -78 °C. After the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h, a solution of freshly prepared aldehyde 40 (130 mg, 0.55 mmol) in THF (0.6 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h. The reaction was quenched by adding saturated NH₄Cl (ca. 2 mL), and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 × 6 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/ Et₂O (3:1) to provide 62 mg (62%) of the desired aldol adduct 42 and 10 mg (17%) of the minor product 44 as colorless oils, along with 10 mg (10%) of recovered ketone 32. 42: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) & 7.25-7.34 (comp, 5 H), 5.52 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.2, 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.03 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.2, 9.0 Hz)1.7, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.97 (dd, J = 10.3, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.83 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.77 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.64 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.60 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.04 (m, 1 H), 3.87 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.5, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.68 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.19 (dd, J = 9.8),2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.15 (dqd, J = 7.8, 6.0, 3.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.80 (qd, J = 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.28 (ddq, J = 9.8, 9.0, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.18 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.15 (dd, J = 14.4, 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.88 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.7, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dqd, J = 9.9, 7.0, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.71 (dqd, J = 13.8, 8.0, 7.4Hz, 1 H), 1.50 (dqd, J = 13.8, 7.4, 6.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.43 (dd, J = 14.4, 3.8Hz, 1 H), 1.32 (s, 3 H), 1.22 (s, 3 H), 1.11 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.08 (s, 3 H), 1.03 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.97 (d, J = 6.0 Hz), 0.97 (d, JJ = 6.7 Hz, 3 H, 0.92 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.82 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 218.7, 140.3, 137.6, 128.5, 127.9 127.8, 115.2, 105.8, 95.2, 84.2, 81.6, 80.9, 75.4, 72.1, 70.0, 47.5, 43.1, 42.2, 39.4, 38.1, 35.3, 28.6, 26.5, 25.0, 22.4, 19.8, 17.7, 10.8, 10.3, 9.1, 8.2; IR (film) v 3480, 3100, 3060, 1735, 1670, 920 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 563.3958 $[C_{33}H_{55}O_7 (M + 1) requires 563.3948], 438, 379, 349, 311, 295, 251$ (base), 165, 149, 129. 44: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.34-7.26 (comp, 5 H), 5.54 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.2, 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (dd, J = 17.2, 1.2 Hz, 1.2 Hz)1 H), 4.98 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.79 (s, 2 H), 4.65 (d, J = 12.0Hz, 1 H), 4.59 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.05 (m, 1 H), 3.68 (d, J = 8.2Hz, 1 H), 3.55 (td, J = 6.9, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.26-3.24 (comp, 2 H), 3.05 (m, 1 H), 3.03 (qd, J = 6.9, 3.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.30 (m, 1 H), 2.24 (dd, J)= 14.4, 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.94 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.90 (dqd, J = 8.2, 6.7, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.76 (m, 1 H), 1.69 (m, 1 H), 1.54 (m, 1 H), 1.34 (dd, J = 14.4, 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.33 (s, 3 H), 1.24 (s, 3 H), 1.09 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 1.09 (s, 3 H), 1.08 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.08 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 3 H,), 1.01 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (t, J =7.4 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 217.8, 140.2, 137.7, 128.4, 127.7, 127.6, 115.3, 106.1, 94.0, 84.4, 82.1, 81.8, 75.6, 72.6, 69.8, 48.0, 42.5, 42.2, 40.3, 37.4, 35.4, 28.4, 26.3, 24.5, 22.1, 19.9, 17.6, 10.5, 10.1, 9.7, 8.9; IR (film) v 3440, 3060, 3030, 1700, 1645, 990, 920 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 563.3947 [C₃₃H₅₅O₇ (M + 1) requires 563.3948], 455, 154 (base), 136.

(2R,3S,4S,5R,6R,8R,10R,11S,12R,13R)-13-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-3,-11-dihydroxy-5,6-(isopropylidenedioxy)-2,4,6,8,10,12-hexamethyl-9-oxopentadecanoic Acid Methyl Ester (46). Ozone was passed over the surface of a solution of olefin 42 (15 mg, 0.027 mmol) in anhydrous MeOH (3 mL) containing a small crystal of Sudan III at -95 °C until the red color began to fade. 2,3-Dimethyl-2-butene (0.15 mL, 1.3 mmol) was immediately added, and the resulting solution was stirred for 20 min at 0 °C. The excess solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was azotropically dried with benzene $(3 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The residue was dissolved in $CH_2Cl_2(5 \text{ mL})$ and cooled to $0 \degree C$, and then triethylamine (7.4 μ L, 0.053 mmol) and acetic anhydride (7.5 μ L, 0.08 mmol) were added sequentially. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min and at room temperature for 1 h, whereupon saturated NaHCO₃ (ca. 3 mL) was added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 × 5 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (2: 1) to give 9.6 mg (61%) of methyl ester 46 along with 3.4 mg (24%) of the corresponding aldehyde: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.33-7.25 (comp, 5 H), 4.83 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.76 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.64 (d, J= 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.60 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.05 (br d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.86 (ddd, J = 7.4, 6.4, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 3.74 (br s, 1 H), 3.65 (comp, 1 H), 31 H), 3.64 (s, 3 H), 3.64 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.15 (dqd, J = 7.9, 7.1, 3.7 Hz, 1 H, 2.81 (qd, J = 7.1, 2.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.63 (dq, J = 9.4, 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.48 (br s, 1 H,), 2.18 (dd, J = 14.4, 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.76 (dqd, J= 9.8, 7.0, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.71 (m, 1 H), 1.67 (dqd, J = 8.4, 6.8, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.51 (m, 1 H), 1.46 (dd, J = 14.4, 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.32 (s, 3 H), 1.22(s, 3 H), 1.22 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.12 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.11 (s, 3 H), 1.10 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.01 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.92 (t, J= 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.82 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 218.8, 175.4, 137.6, 128.4, 127.8, 127.7, 105.7, 95.1, 83.4, 81.6, 81.0, 72.4, 72.0, 69.9, 51.7, 47.4, 43.6, 42.8, 39.4, 38.0, 36.4, 28.6, 26.5, 24.9, 22.1, 19.8, 14.9, 10.3, 9.7, 8.2; IR (film) v 3450, 1735, 1710, 1460, 1380, 1250, 1175 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 595.3831 [C₃₃H₅₅O₉ (M + 1) requires 595.3846], 429, 411, 289, 248 (base), 221.

(35,4R,55,6R,7R,9R,105,115,12R,13R,14R)-14-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-6,7-(isopropylidenedioxy)-3,5,7,9,11,13-hexamethylhexadecene-4,10,12triol. Anhydrous acetic acid (1.3 mL) was slowly added to a solution of Me₄NBH(OAc)₃ (340 mg, 1.29 mmol) in CH₃CN (1.3 mL). After it was stirred at room temperature for 40 min, the solution was cooled to -45 °C, and 42 (107 mg, 0.19 mmol) in CH₃CN (1.3 mL) was added. The reaction was then stirred between -40 and -50 °C for 8 h and warmed to 10 °C over a period of 8 h. The reaction mixture was poured into saturated NaHCO₃ (20 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The aqueous mixture was extracted with CH2- Cl_2 (4 × 20 mL), and the combined extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (5 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/ acetone (6:1) to give 97 mg (91%) of the desired 9(S)-alcohol as a colorless oil. The structure of this triol was confirmed by its conversion into the synthetic polyol 48 using the same procedure described previously for transforming 41 into 48: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) & 7.33-7.25 (comp, 5 H), 5.54 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.3, 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (ddd, J = 17.2, 1.6, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.97 (dd, J = 10.3, 1.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.84 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.77 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.70 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.62 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H)1 H), 4.06 (ddd, J = 10.2, 2.1, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 3.81 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.79-3.74 (comp, 2 H), 3.38 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.31 (dd, J = 9.5, 3.3 Hz, 1 H), 2.61 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.03 (m, 1 H), 2.09 (m, 1 H), 1.93-1.88 (comp, 2 H), 1.78 (dd, J = 14.8, 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (m, 1 H), 1.66 (m, 1 H), 1.53 (dd, J = 14.8, 6.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.53 (m, 1 H), 1.36 (s, 3 H), 1.30 (s, 3 H), 1.13 (s, 3 H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.00 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 0.95 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.93 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3 H,), 0.87 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 0.78 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) & 140.4, 137.5, 128.5, 127.8, 127.7, 115.1, 105.8, 94.7, 83.6, 83.0, 82.6, 75.6, 75.2, 72.0, 70.1, 42.5, 42.1, 37.9, 35.9, 35.4, 31.8, 28.7, 26.8, 23.9, 22.2, 17.8, 15.8, 11.5, 11.0, 10.0, 8.9; IR (film) v 3405, 3080, 3020, 1640, 920 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 565.4104 [C₃₃H₅₇O₇ (M + 1) requires 565.4104], 457, 399, 381 (base), 297.

(3S,4R,5S,6R,7R,9S,10S,11S,12S,13S,14R)-14-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-10,12-(carbonyldioxy)-3,5,7,9,11,13-hexamethyl-4-[((*N*-imidazolyl)carbonyl)oxy]-6,7-(isopropylidenedioxy)hexadecene (50). A solution of the triol obtained by reduction of 42 (45 mg, 0.08 mmol) and 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole (65 mg, 0.40 mmol) in benzene (3 mL) was heated at reflux for 20 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford an oily residue that was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (2:1) to afford 50 (53 mg, 98%) as a colorless

oil which solidified upon standing in a freezer; 50 was recrystallized from a solution in hexanes/Et₂O by slow evaporation: mp 101-102 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 8.11 (s, 1 H), 7.40 (s, 1 H), 7.33–7.24 (comp, 5 H), 7.07 (s, 1 H), 5.64 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.2, 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.18 (dd, J = 17.1, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.12 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.91 (dd, J = 10.0, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.78 (s, 2 H), 4.64 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1 H), 4.56 (d, J = 12.2Hz, 1 H), 4.43 (dd, J = 10.4, 2.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.96 (td, J = 7.1, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.86 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.52 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 2.66(ddq, J = 10.0, 8.9, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.28 (qdd, J = 7.4, 2.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H),2.06 (qdd, J = 6.8, 4.2, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.96 (m, 1 H), 1.80 (dqd, J = 10.4, 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.76 (m, 1 H), 1.43 (m, 1 H), 1.39–1.31 (m, 2 H), 1.22 (s, 3 H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.04 (s, 3 H), 1.04 (br t, 6 H),1.00 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.00 (s, 3 H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.81 $(d, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}); {}^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} (125 \text{ MHz}) \delta 149.9, 149.0, 138.3, 137.9,$ 137.2, 130.6, 128.4, 127.5, 127.3, 117.2, 117.2, 106.6, 95.2, 89.0, 85.0, 84.7, 82.3, 78.2, 77.9, 69.6, 43.8, 40.3, 36.7, 34.5, 33.0, 29.1, 28.3, 26.4, 25.5, 21.5, 18.5, 17.2, 12.3, 10.3, 8.6, 7.9; IR (film) v 3600, 3100, 1750, 1650, 910 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 685.4063 [C₃₈H₅₇N₂O₉ (M + 1) requires 685.4064], 154 (base), 136, 107.

(2S,3R)-1,2-Epoxy-2-methylpentan-3-ol (55). A suspension of powdered 4-Å molecular sieves (2.80 g), diisopropyl D-tartarate (2.78 mL, 13.1 mmol), and titanium tetraisopropoxide (3.25 mL, 10.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (220 mL) was stirred at -20 °C for 10 min. tert-Butyl hydroperoxide (18.0 mL, 3 M solution in 2,2,4-trimethylpentane) was added, and stirring continued at -20 °C for an additional 30 min, whereupon a solution of 2-methyl-1-penten-3-ol (48) (10.9 g, 10.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added slowly. The mixture was stirred at -20 °C for 11 h, and triethanolamine (7 mL of a 2.16 M solution in CH₂Cl₂) was then added. The mixture was allowed to warmed to room temperature and stirred for 10 h. The suspension was filtered through a pad of silica gel that was washed with $Et_2O(ca.300 \text{ mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was partially purified by flash chromatography, eluting with pentane/Et₂O (2:1) to give a mixture of 55 and diisopropyl tartarate. Distillation of this mixture gave 3.07 g (48%) of 55 (bp 80–82 °C, 25 mmHg) as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 3.57 (ddd, J = 8.3, 3.0, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.88 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.58 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.05 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.68 (m, 1 H), 1.41 (m, 1 H), 1.32 (s, 3 H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 73.0, 58.9, 50.3, 25.9, 18.0, 9.8; IR (film) ν 3430, 3030, 1240, 870 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 117, 99 (base), 87, 81, 71. Anal. Calcd for C₆H₁₂O₂: C, 62.04; H, 10.41. Found: C, 61.88; H, 10.08.

2,2-Dimethyl propanoic Acid (2S, 3R)-2, 3-Dihydroxy-2-methyl pentanylEster (56). A solution of pivalic acid (3.83 g, 37.6 mmol) and titanium tetraisopropoxide (8.40 mL, 28.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min, whereupon a solution of 55 (1.09 g, 9.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9 mL) was added, and the resulting mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 40 h. Triethanolamine (15 mL of a 2.40 M solution in CH₂Cl₂) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min and then filtered through a Celite pad with Et₂O (ca. 300 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (3×75 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/ Et₂O (1:1) to give 1.29 g (63%) of diol 56 as a solid that was recrystallized from hexanes to give 56 as colorless plates: mp 58-60 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 4.22 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.98 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.32 (m, 1 H), 2.54 (br s, 1 H), 2.29 (br d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.61 (dqd, J= 13.9, 7.4, 2.1 Hz, 1 H), 1.33 (m, 1 H), 1.21 (s, 9 H), 1.16 (s, 3 H), $1.02 (t, J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}); {}^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR} (75 \text{ MHz}) \delta 178.8, 77.9, 73.9, 68.3,$ 38.9, 27.1, 23.9, 20.7, 11.3; IR (film) 3500, 1720, 1400 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 219 (M + 1), 201 (base), 117, 99, 85. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₂₂O₄: C, 60.53; H, 10.16. Found: C, 60.68; H, 10.18.

2,2-Dimethylpropanoic Acid (2S,3R)-3-[(tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-2-hydroxy-2-methylpentanyl Ester. To a solution of diol 56 (335 mg, 1.54 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8 mL) containing 2,6-lutidine (0.54 mL, 4.60 mmol) at -20 °C was added (TBDMS)OTf (0.42 mL, 1.84 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at -20 °C for 1 h, saturated NaHCO₃ (ca. 5 mL) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Ether (ca. 50 mL) was added, and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with saturated CuSO₄ (2 × 5 mL) and saturated NaCl (1 × 5 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/ether (5:1) to give 407 mg (80%) of the TBDMS ether as an oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 4.07 (AB q, 2 H, $\Delta\nu_{AB}$ = 24.2 Hz, J = 11.3 Hz), 3.51 (dd, 1 H, J = 7.0, 4.0 Hz), 2.30 (s, 1 H), 1.70 (m, 1 H), 1.42 (m, 1 H), 1.23 (s, 9 H), 1.15 (s, 3 H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.91 (s, 9 H), 0.10 (s, 3 H), 0.09 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 178.6, 78.4, 74.4, 68.4, 38.9, 27.2, 26.0, 25.3, 20.7, 18.2, 11.5, -3.9, -4.4; IR (film) ν 3520, 2975, 2882, 1775, 1510, 1492, 1431, 1397 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 333 (M + 1), 315 (base). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₃₆O₄Si: C, 61.40; H, 10.91. Found: C, 61.54; H, 11.09.

2,2-Dimethylpropanoic Acid (2S,3R)-2-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-2-methyl-3-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]pentanyl Ester (57). A mixture of the above alcohol (500 mg, 1.51 mmol), NaI (1.13 g, 7.53 mmol), and Proton Sponge (1.93 g, 9.04 mmol) in DME (15 mL) was stirred at 0 °C until all solids dissolved, whereupon benzyl chloromethyl ether (1.05 mL, 7.53 mmol) was added. The suspension was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 24 h. Ether (50 mL) was added, and the mixture was filtered through a Celite pad by washing with a small volume of ether. The filtrate was washed with saturated aqueous $CuSO_4$ (2 × 50 mL), saturated NaCl (1 \times 50 mL), and H₂O (1 \times 50 mL). The combined aqueous layers were back-extracted with $Et_2O(1 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/ether (20:1) to give 575 mg (84%) of 57 as an oil: ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz) δ 7.32 (comp, 5H), 4.88 (AB q, $\Delta \nu_{AB}$ = 28.6 Hz, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.61 (AB q, $\Delta \nu_{AB}$ = 23.3 Hz, J = 11.9 Hz, 2 H), 4.14 (AB q, $\Delta \nu_{AB} = 131.6$ Hz, J = 11.9 Hz, 2 H), 1.82 (m, 1 H), 1.42 (m, 1 H), 1.24 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (s, 9 H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.89 (s, 9 H), 0.10 (s, 3 H), 0.07 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 178.1, 138.0, 128.3, 127.7, 127.5, 89.6, 79.9, 76.3, 69.6, 66.3, 38.8, 27.3, 26.0, 25.1, 18.3, 15.8, 11.9, -4.0, -4.3; IR (film) v 2960, 2840, 1751, 1449, 1430, 1308, 1280, 1180 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 451 (M-1), 345 (base). Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₄₄O₅Si: C, 66.33; H, 9.80. Found: C, 66.26; H, 9.92

(2S,3R)-2-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-3-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-2methylpentanol. Methyllithium (0.83 mL, 1.40 M, Et₂O) was added to a solution of ester 57 (210 mg, 0.47 mmol) in Et₂O (4.5 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, and saturated NH4-Cl (ca. 4 mL) was added. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (2 \times 15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl (1×5 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (7:1) to give 148 mg (86%) of the primary alcohol as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}) \delta 7.35-7.26 \text{ (comp, 5 H)}, 4.88 \text{ (d, } J = 7.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H)}, 4.84$ (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.67 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1 H)1 H), 3.63 (dd, J = 7.4, 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 3.62 (dd, J = 12.4, 6.4 Hz, 1 H),3.55 (dd, J = 12.4, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.18 (dd, J = 7.0, 6.4 Hz, 1 H), 1.71(dqd, J = 14.1, 7.5, 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.40 (m, 1 H), 1.10 (s, 3 H), 0.96 (t, 1.4)J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.88 (s, 9 H), 0.09 (s, 3 H), 0.08 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 137.4, 128.5, 127.8, 127.7, 89.1, 81.8, 76.5, 69.9, 65.4, 26.0, 25.2, 18.2, 15.5, 11.8, -4.1, -4.4; IR (film) v 3460, 3050, 3020, 1380, 1260 cm^{-1} ; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 369 (M + 1), 261, 215, 173 (base), 149, 129, 91. Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₆O₄Si: C, 65.17; H, 9.85. Found: C, 65.06; H, 9.83.

(2R,3R)-2-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-3-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-2methylpentanal (59). DMSO (0.11 mL, 1.58 mmol) was added to a solution of oxalyl chloride (69 μ L, 0.79 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) at -60 °C, and the solution was stirred at -60 °C for 15 min. A solution of the above alcohol (145 mg, 0.39 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added, the resulting mixture was stirred at -60 °C for 15 min, and Et₃N (0.33 mL, 2.36 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over 45 min, and Et₂O (ca. 50 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (1×10 mL), saturated $CuSO_4$ (1 × 10 mL), and saturated NaCl (1 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with pentane/ Et₂O (20:1) to give 126 mg (87%) of aldehyde 59 as an oil: ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}) \delta 9.57 \text{ (s, 1 H)}, 7.32 \text{ (comp, 5 H)}, 4.90 \text{ (d, } J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ (d, } J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ (d, } J = 7.3$ H), 4.73 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.66 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.57 (d, J= 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.77 (dd, J = 7.5, 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.67 (dqd, J = 14.1, 7.5, 4.2 Hz, 1 H), 1.42 (m, 1 H), 1.30 (s, 3 H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3 H), 0.85 (s, 9 H), 0.06 (s, 3 H), 0.05 (s, 3 H); 13 C NMR (75 MHz) δ 203.0, 137.5, 128.4, 127.7, 127.6, 90.0, 84.4, 76.9, 69.9, 25.9, 25.4, 18.1, 13.2, 11.1, -4.0, -4.2; IR (film) v 3080, 3060, 1760, 1410, 1290 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 366, 245 (base), 239, 193.

(3R,4S,5S,6S,8R,10R,11R,12S,13R,14S)-4-[(Benzyloxy)methoxy]-3-[(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy]-5,13-dihydroxy-10,11-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethylhexadec-15-en-7-one (63). A solution of ketone 32 (50.0 mg, 0.15 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added to a solution of lithium hexamethyldisilazide (0.54 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) at -78 °C. After the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2.5 h, a solution of aldehyde 59 (170 mg, 0.46 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at -78 °C for an additional 1 h. Saturated NH4Cl (2 mL) was added and the reaction warmed to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/acetone (9:1) to afford 22 mg of recovered 32 and 51 mg (48%) of the syn-adduct 63 together with 1.5 mg (1%) of a diastereoisomer that was tentatively assigned the structure 61. For 63: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.34-7.25 (comp, 5 H), 5.54 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.3, 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.10 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (ddd, J =17.1, 1.7, 0.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.98 (dd, J = 10.3, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.84 (d, J =6.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.70 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.52 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.06 (dd, J = 4.7, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (dd, J= 6.4, 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.38 (qd, J = 7.0, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.24 (dd, J = 9.4, 2.8 Hz, 1 H), 3.11 (m, 1 H), 2.75 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.30 (m, 1 H), 2.25 (dd, J = 14.4, 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.09 (br d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.90 (dgd, J = 14.4, 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 2.09 (br d, J = 14.4, 7.8 Hz, 1 H), 1.90 (dgd, J = 14.4J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dqd, J = 14.4, 7.6, 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.50(m, 1 H), 1.40 (dd, J = 14.4, 3.7 Hz, 1 H), 1.35 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (s, 3 H),1.25 (s, 3 H), 1.18 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.11 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.10(s, 3 H), 1.06 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.90 (s, 9 H), 0.08 (s, 3 H), 0.06 (s, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) & 218.5, 140.3, 138.1, 128.4, 127.7, 127.6, 115.2, 106.0, 90.4, 84.1, 82.6, 81.8, 79.0, 75.4, 71.3, 69.8, 46.1, 42.6, 42.2, 39.8, 35.4, 29.7, 28.5, 26.4, 26.1, 25.6, 22.3, 20.1, 18.3, 17.7, 16.9, 11.7, 10.5, 8.9, -3.9, -4.1; IR (film) v 3450, 1700, 1640, 1385, 1260, 1010 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 693.4757 [C₃₉H₆₉O₈Si (M + 1) requires 693.4762], 399, 313, 285 (base), 269, 227, 209, 173.

2,2-Dimethylpropanoic Acid (2S,3R)-2,3-Bis[(benzyloxy)methoxy]-2-methylpentanyl Ester (58). A mixture of diol 56 (100 mg, 0.46 mmol). NaI (344 mg, 2.29 mmol), and Proton Sponge (589 mg, 2.75 mmol) in DME (4.5 mL) was stirred at 0 °C until all solids dissolved, whereupon benzyl chloromethyl ether (0.32 mL, 2.29 mmol) was added. The suspension was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min and then at room temperature for 40 h. The mixture was diluted with $Et_2O(35 \text{ mL})$ and filtered through a Celite pad. The filtrate was washed with saturated $CuSO_4$ (2 × 15 mL) and saturated NaCl $(1 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/ether (7:1) to give 176 mg (84%) of 58 as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 7.32 (comp, 10 H), 4.89 (s, 2 H), 4.83 (s, 2 H), 4.70 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.62 (s, 2 H), 4.61 (dd, J =14.1, 3.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.20 (AB q, $\Delta \nu_{AB} = 76.5$ Hz, J = 12.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.56 (dd, J = 10.6, 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.81 (m, 1 H), 1.55 (m, 1 H), 1.39 (s, 3 H),1.19 (s, 9H), 1.06 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

(2S,3R)-2,3-Bis[(benzyloxy)methoxy]-2-methylpentanol. Methyllithium (1.9 mL, 1.40 M solution in Et₂O) was added to a solution of ester 58 (416 mg, 0.91 mmol) in Et₂O (9 mL) at 0 °C. After the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, saturated NH4Cl (ca. 4 mL) was added and the mixture warmed to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous solution was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/EtOAc (4:1) to give 297 mg (87%) of the primary alcohol as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) § 7.48-7.28 (comp, 10 H), 4.94 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.93 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.87 (d, J =7.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.85 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1 H,), 4.70 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.69 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.65 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 11.8 (d, J = 4.6Hz, 1 H), 3.72 (dd, J = 12.7, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.65 (br s, 1 H), 3.62 (dd, J = 12.7, 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.38 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.79 (m, 1 H), 1.51(m, 1 H), 1.15 (s, 3 H), 1.05 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 137.6, 137.4, 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 96.3, 89.0, 82.6, 81.4, 70.2, 69.9, 65.2, 23.1, 15.7, 11.5; IR (film) v 3450 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 375.2169 [C₂₂H₃₁O₅ (M + 1) requires 375.2172], 237, 159 (base), 129.

(2R,3R)-2,3-Bis[(benzyloxy)methoxy]-2-methylpentanal (60). DMSO (108 µL, 1.58 mmol) was added to a solution of oxalyl chloride (66 µL, 0.76 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) at -60 °C. After the mixture was stirred at -60 °C for 15 min, a solution of the above alcohol (142 mg, 0.38 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was introduced and the mixture stirred at -60 °C for 20 min, whereupon Et₃N (0.32 mL, 2.28 mmol) was added. The mixture was warmed to room temperature over 45 min, and Et₂O (ca. 20 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (1 × 10 mL), saturated CuSO₄ (1 × 10 mL), and saturated NaCl (1 × 10 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/Et₂O (4:1) to furnish 129 mg (91%) of aldehyde **60** as a clear yellowish oil: ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 9.64 (s, 1 H), 7.42–7.27 (comp, 10 H), 4.92 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.82 (s, 2 H), 4.76 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1 H), 4.71 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.61 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.59 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.55 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.76 (dd, J = 8.7, 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.74 (dqd, J = 14.5, 7.4, 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 1.57 (m, 1 H), 1.37 (s, 3 H), 1.04 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 202.3, 137.6, 137.3, 128.4, 127.7, 95.8, 89.8, 83.9, 82.4, 70.1, 69.9, 23.3, 13.3, 11.0; IR (film) ν 3060, 3025, 1745 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 373.2007 [C₂₂H₂₉O₅ (M + 1) requires 373.2015], 314, 289, 235 (base), 199, 181.

(3R,4S,5R,6R,8R,10R,11R,12S,13R,14S)-3,4-Bis[(benzyloxy)methoxy]-5,13-dihydroxy-10,11-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethylhexadec-15-en-7-one (62) and (3R,4S,5S,6S,8R,10R,11R,12S,13R,14S)-3,4-Bis[(benzyloxy)methoxy]-5,13-dihydroxy-10,11-(isopropylidenedioxy)-4,6,8,10,12,14-hexamethylhexadec-15-en-7-one (64). A solution of ketone 32 (33 mg, 0.10 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added to a solution of lithium hexamethyldisilazide (0.30 mmol) in THF (0.4 mL) at -78 °C. After the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2.5 h, a solution of aldehyde 60 (67 mg, 0.18 mmol) in THF (0.5 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min. The reaction was quenched at -78 °C by adding saturated NH4Cl (1 mL), and the resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (4×5 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with hexanes/ Et₂O (6:1) to afford 5 mg (7%) of adduct 62, 24 mg (34%) of adduct 64, and 15 mg of recovered ketone 32. 62: 1H NMR (500 MHz) 87.34-7.25 (comp, 10 H), 5.53 (ddd, J = 17.1, 10.2, 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 5.04 (ddd, J = 17.1, 1.5, 0.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.97 (dd, J = 10.2, 1.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.92 (d, J =7.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.90 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.85 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 4.79 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 4.67 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.63 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H)1 H), 4.62 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.57 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1 H), 4.16 (dd, J = 6.0, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 3.79 (dd, $J \neq 8.8, 2.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$), 3.76 (d, $J \neq 6.0$ Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 3.23 (br d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.08(m, 1 H), 3.06 (qd, J = 7.0, 4.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.29 (m, 1 H), 2.14 (d, J =1.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.14 (dd, J = 14.4, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.89 (dqd, J = 8.3, 6.7, 1.5 Hz, 1 H, 1.78 (dqd, J = 14.4, 7.4, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 1.57 (m, 1 H), 1.41(dd, J = 14.4, 4.6 Hz, 1 H), 1.34 (s, 3 H), 1.25 (s, 3 H), 1.24 (s, 3 H),1.21 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.10 (s, 3 H), 1.05(d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H), 1.02 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3 H), 0.98 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3 H)3 H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) δ 217.0, 140.4, 137.7, 137.4, 128.4, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 115.2, 105.8, 96.4, 90.0, 84.3, 84.2, 82.5, 81.7, 75.4, 73.8, 70.5, 70.2, 46.2, 42.8, 42.2, 40.0, 35.4, 26.6, 25.6, 23.7, 22.6, 19.6, 17.7, 16.9, 12.2, 11.7, 9.0; IR (film) v 3460, 3060, 3020, 1705, 1640 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 699.4473 [C₄₁H₆₃O₉ (M + 1) requires 699.4472], 269, 251 (base), 213, 194, 182. 64: ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ 7.36-7.25 (comp, 10 H), 5.55 (ddd, J = 17.2, 10.3, 9.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.05 (dd, J = 17.2, 10.3, 9.1 Hz)1.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.03 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.98 (dd, J = 10.3, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 4.87 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H), 4.84 (s, 2 H), 4.68 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 2 H), 4.60 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.55 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H), 4.13 (dd, J =4.9, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.70 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 3.57 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 3.34 (qd, J = 7.0, 1.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.29 (m, 1 H), 3.12 (dqd, J = 7.6, J)7.1, 3.6 Hz, 1 H), 2.93 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1 H), 2.30 (m, 1 H), 2.25 (dd,

 $J = 14.4, 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 1.15 (\text{br d}, J = 0.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 1.91 (m, 1 \text{ H}), 1.78 (dqd, J = 14.5, 7.4, 2.7 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 1.57 (m, 1 \text{ H}), 1.42 (dd, J = 14.4, 3.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 1.40 (s, 3 \text{ H}), 1.35 (s, 3 \text{ H}), 1.26 (s, 3 \text{ H}), 1.20 (d, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}), 1.12 (d, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}), 1.10 (s, 3 \text{ H}), 1.06 (d, J = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}), 1.03 (t, J = 7.4 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}), 0.99 (d, J = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}); 1.05 (n, 127.5, 115.2, 105.9, 96.4, 90.1, 85.8, 83.9, 82.8, 81.8, 75.3, 71.4, 70.2, 69.9, 46.0, 42.6, 42.2, 39.8, 35.4, 28.5, 26.4, 23.8, 22.3, 20.1, 17.6, 16.4, 11.9, 10.3, 9.0; IR (film) <math>\nu$ 3450, 3060, 3020, 1700, 1640 cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (CI) m/z 698.4376 (C₄₁H₆₂O₉ requires 698.4394), 485, 395, 377, 319, 251 (base).

(2S,3R,4S,5R,6R,8R,9S,10S,11R,12R,13R)-3,5,6,9,11,12,13-Heptahydroxy-2,4,6,8,10,12-hexamethylpentadecanol (65). A solution of ketone 62 (43 mg, 0.06 mmol) in CH₃CN (0.5 mL) was added to a solution of Me₄NBH(OAc)₃ (75 mg, 0.29 mmol) in a mixture (1:1) of CH₃CN/HOAc (1.0 mL) at -40 °C. The mixture was stirred at -40 °C for 4 h, saturated NaHCO₃ (ca. 1 mL) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. Solid NaHCO3 was added to neutralize excess HOAc, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 5 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was then purified by flash chromatograpy, eluting with hexanes/acetone (5:1) to give 4 mg of the 9(S)-alcohol as a colorless oil. A solution of the olefinic 9(S)alcohol (4 mg, 0.01 mmol) thus obtained in EtOAc (2 mL) containing Sudan III (ca 0.5 mg) at -78 °C was treated with ozone until the dye began to fade. 2,3-Dimethyl-2-butene (0.15 mL) was added immediately in one portion. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature over 30 min and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The oily residue was dissolved in dry THF (2 mL) at 0 °C, and LiAlH₄ (10 mg) was added. After it was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, the reaction mixture was quenched by the successive addition of H₂O (10 μ L), 10% aqueous NaOH (10 μ L), and H₂O (30 μ L). The mixture was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in MeOH/H₂O (1:1, 2 mL) that had been previously saturated with HONH₂·HCl and KH₂PO₄ (10 mg, 0.07 mmol). The resulting mixture was heated at reflux for 4 h and then cooled to room temperature. The volume of the solvent was reduced to about one-fourth under reduced pressure, solid NaHCO3 was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc ($5 \times 2 \text{ mL}$). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, eluting with CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (5:1) to give 1 mg of synthetic polyol 65 as an oil; this material was identical with TLC and ¹³C NMR results of an authentic sample prepared from 9(S)-dihydroerythronolide A:^{3j 13}C NMR (125 MHz, acetone-d₆) δ 81.6, 81.0, 78.9, 77.3, 76.3, 76.0, 73.2, 65.7, 43.8, 38.9, 37.1, 36.3, 31.1, 25.1, 23.3, 20.2, 16.0, 13.3, 11.9, 11.8, 8.1; mass spectrum (CI) 425 (M + 1), 85 (base).

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank the National Institutes of Health (GM 31077) and the Robert A. Welch Foundation for their generous support of this research. G.J.P. was a recipient of a PHS Postdoctoral Fellowship (HL07571) (1987–1989).